| Verizon N.Y. Inc. v Union & Ct. Realty Corp. | | |
|---|--|--|
| 2024 NY Slip Op 31300(U) | | |
| April 10, 2024 | | |
| Supreme Court, New York County | | |
| Docket Number: Index No. 155575/2023 | | |
| Judge: Emily Morales-Minerva | | |
| Cases posted with a "30000" identifier, i.e., 2013 NY Slip Op <u>30001(U)</u> , are republished from various New York State and local government sources, including the New York State Unified Court System's eCourts Service. | | |
| This opinion is uncorrected and not selected for official publication. | | |

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK NEW YORK COUNTY

| PRESENT: HON. EMILY MORALES-MINERVA | PART | 42M |
|-------------------------------------|-----------------|-------------|
| Ju | ustice | |
| | INDEX NO. | 155575/2023 |
| VERIZON NEW YORK INC. | MOTION DATE | 02/09/2024 |
| Plaintiff, | MOTION SEQ. NO. | 001 |
| - V - | | |

UNION & COURT REALTY CORP.,

Defendant.

DECISION + ORDER ON MOTION

 The following e-filed documents, listed by NYSCEF document number (Motion 001) 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14

 were read on this motion to/for
 JUDGMENT - DEFAULT

HON. EMILY MORALES-MINERVA:

In this action to recover damages alleged to have been caused by negligence of the defendant, plaintiff VERIZON NEW YORK INC., ("plaintiff") moves for a default judgment against defendant UNION & COURT REALTY CORP., ("defendant"), based on defendant's failure to answer the complaint or otherwise appear in this matter. Plaintiff alleges it has sustained damages "in an amount not less than \$32,307.17, together with interest, costs, [and] attorney's fees." There is no opposition.

In support of its motion, plaintiff submits an affidavit by Ryan M. Jerome, plaintiff's counsel; an affidavit by Corbett Liverpool, an employee of the plaintiff with the title "Construction Local Manager"; a copy of the Complaint (Exhibit "A"); a copy of the affidavit of service for the Summons and

155575/2023 VERIZON NEW YORK INC. vs. UNION & COURT REALTY CORP. Motion No. 001 Page 1 of 5

2

INDEX NO. 155575/2023 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 04/12/2024

Complaint (Exhibit "B"); a copy the CPLR § 3215 Notice of Default with Affidavit of Mailing on October 27, 2023 (Exhibit "C"); a copy of the Property Damage Report (Exhibit "1"); and a copy of the explanations of charges (Exhibit "2").

Default Judgment Motion

In order to establish entitlement to a default judgment, plaintiff must prove (1) defendant was properly served, (2) defendant has failed to appear or respond, and (3) the facts constituting its claims are supported by an affidavit of a person with knowledge or a verified complaint (<u>see CPLR §</u> 3215(f)). CPLR § 3215 does not intend that default judgments are to be "rubberstamped" once jurisdiction and a failure to appear have been shown. (<u>Feffer v. Malpeso</u>, 210 A.D.2d 60, 61 [1st Dept 1994]). Rather, some proof of liability is required to satisfy the court as to the prima facie validity of the uncontested cause of action. Feffer, 210 A.D.2d at 61.

Here, plaintiff has met its burden by submitting an affidavit of service of the Summons and Complaint, proof of defendants' failure to answer or appear, and proof of the facts constituting the claim. The Attorney Affirmation annexed to plaintiffs' motion includes Corbett Liverpool's affidavit which provides direct personal knowledge of the facts constituting Plaintiff's claim. The Affidavit notes that Liverpool personally

155575/2023 VERIZON NEW YORK INC. vs. UNION & COURT REALTY CORP. Motion No. 001 Page 2 of 5

7

reviewed the attached Report of Property Damage to Outside Plant ("PDR") which indicated, among other things, the nature of the damage and the identity of the damager. Further, the affidavit writes that "the incident and damages addressed in the PDR and described in the Complaint are one and the same." Therefore, Plaintiff's application is sufficient to support entry of a default judgment pursuant to CPLR § 3215[f].

Attorney's Fees

"[0]nly a prevailing party is entitled to attorney's fees" (Nestor v McDowell, 81 NY2d 410, 415-416 [1993]. "Under the general rule, attorney's fees are incidents of litigation and a prevailing party may not collect them from the loser unless an award is authorized by agreement between the parties, statute or court rule" (<u>Hooper Assoc., Ltd. v AGS Computers, Inc., 74 NY2d</u> 487, 491 [1989]). A party is entitled to a sum certain on a default judgment if it submits requisite proof of the facts constituting the claim, the default and the amount due, in the form of either an Affidavit of a Verified Complaint (<u>see CPLR §</u> 3215(f); <u>see also</u>, <u>Chase Manhattan Bank (Nat. Ass'n) v.</u> Evergreen Steel Corp., 91 A.D.2d 539, 539 [1st Dept 1982]).

Here, plaintiff requests that the Court grant their motion and directing that default judgment against defendant Union & Court Realty Corp. be entered pursuant to CPLR § 3215, "in an

155575/2023 VERIZON NEW YORK INC. vs. UNION & COURT REALTY CORP. Motion No. 001 Page 3 of 5

[* 3]

3 of 5

amount not less than \$32,307.17, together with interest, costs, [and] attorney's fees." However, because plaintiff's damages claim of "an amount not less than \$32,307.17" is not amendable to the characterization of a "sum certain," nor are attorney's fees treated by courts as a "sum certain," plaintiff shall be directed to an Inquest to determine the issue of damages and attorney's fees (<u>see Arent Fox Kintner Plotkin & Kahn, PLLC v</u> Lurzer GmbH, 297 A.D.2d 590 [1st Dept 2002].

Accordingly it is,

ORDERED that Plaintiff VERIZON NEW YORK INC.'s motion for a default judgment against Defendant UNION & COURT REALTY CORP., is granted without opposition; and it is further

ORDERED that, with regard to the assessment of damages and attorney's fees, plaintiff and defendant shall appear for an inquest before Justice Emily Morales-Minerva in Part 42 at 111 Centre Street New York, NY 10013 in courtroom 574 on **June 12th**, **2024 at 2:30pm**; and it is further

ORDERED that within 30 days of entry of this Order, plaintiff shall serve a copy of this order upon all parties, with notice of entry, and shall file such notice via NYSCEF.

155575/2023 VERIZON NEW YORK INC. vs. UNION & COURT REALTY CORP. Motion No. 001

[* 4]

7

\$

THIS CONSTITUTES THE DECISION AND ORDER OF THE COURT.

| 4/10/2024 | | Cmily Anderthewa |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------|--|
| DATE | | EMILY MORALES-MINERVA, J.S.C. |
| CHECK ONE: | CASE DISPOSED X GRANTED DENIED | X NON-FINAL DISPOSITION D GRANTED IN PART OTHER |
| APPLICATION: CHECK IF APPROPRIATE: | SETTLE ORDER | SUBMIT ORDER I FIDUCIARY APPOINTMENT REFERENCE |
| | | |

155575/2023 VERIZON NEW YORK INC. vs. UNION & COURT REALTY CORP. Motion No. 001