Avanza Group, LLC v Simonxpress Pizza LLC

2024 NY Slip Op 31502(U)

March 15, 2024

Supreme Court, Nassau County

Docket Number: Index No. 605058/20

Judge: Dawn Jimenez

Cases posted with a "30000" identifier, i.e., 2013 NY Slip Op 30001(U), are republished from various New York State and local government sources, including the New York State Unified Court System's eCourts Service.

This opinion is uncorrected and not selected for official publication.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 67

INDEX NO. 605058/2020

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 03/18/2024

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NASSAU

PRESENT: HON. DAWN JIMENEZ, J.S.C.	
AVANZA GROUP, LLC,	Trial/IAS Part 24
Plaintiff,	DECISION/ORDER
against SIMONXPRESS PIZZA LLC d/b/a HUNGRY HOWIES and FAWZI SIMON,	Index No. 605058/20 Mot. Seq. 3 Mot. Date: 2/28/24
Defendants.	
The following e-filed papers read herein: Notice of Motion/Order to Show Cause/ Petition/Cross Motion and	NYSCEF Doc. Nos.
Affidavits (Affirmations) Annexed	52 - 64
Opposing Affidavits (Affirmations)	
Reply Affidavits (Affirmations)	66

In this action for breach of contract, plaintiff moves for an order, pursuant to CPLR 3126, striking defendant's answer for failure to comply with the parties' so-ordered stipulation, dated September 6, 2023, and for costs and fees incurred in the making of the motion. In the stipulation, it was agreed that defendants would provide PDF copies of bank statements from March 2020 to present from a Chase Bank account ending in #9599 within 30 days. Defendants exchanged certain bank statements from other unknown bank accounts but not the subject bank statements for the agreed upon time period. Defendants oppose the motion, arguing, among other things, that they should not have to produce the statements and the striking of their answer is unwarranted. The motion is determined as follows.

Pursuant to CPLR 3126, a court may impose discovery sanctions where a party refuses to obey an order for disclosure or willfully fails to disclose information which should have been disclosed (see Gorbatov v Tsirelman, 206 AD3d 887, 889 [2d Dept 2022]). "The nature and degree of a penalty to be imposed under CPLR 3126 for discovery violations is addressed to the court's discretion" (Crupi v Rashid, 157 AD3d 858, 859 [2d Dept 2018]). "However, the drastic remedy of striking a pleading or even precluding evidence pursuant to CPLR 3126 should not be imposed absent a clear showing that the failure to comply with discovery demands or orders was willful and contumacious" (U.S. Bank N.A. v Hadar, 206 AD3d 688, 690 [2d Dept 2022] [internal quotation marks omitted]).

INDEX NO. 605058/2020

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 03/18/2024

Here, the record demonstrates that defendants failed to comply with the so-ordered stipulation. Although defendants now argue that they should not be required to produce the bank statements, their opposition does not set forth any basis to vacate the so-ordered stipulation pursuant to which they previously agreed to produce said records. It is clear that this evidence is relevant to the issue of whether the limited liability company defendant had sufficient receipts to satisfy its obligations under the agreement. Nevertheless, the drastic sanction of striking defendants' answer is not warranted. Additionally, considering the affirmative defense that the agreement constitutes a criminally usurious loan, the matter should be determined on the merits. Based on the foregoing, the Court finds that the appropriate sanction is a conditional order that the limited liability defendant shall be deemed to have had generated sufficient receivables during the relevant period to have satisfied defendants' obligations under the agreement and repaid the purchase price unless the requested discovery is produced (see Robert v Azoulay Realty Corp., 209 AD3d 781 [2d Dept 2022]).

Accordingly, it is

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 67

ORDERED that plaintiff's motion is granted to the extent indicated herein; and it is further

ORDERED that unless defendants exchange the bank statements which are the subject of the so-ordered stipulation, dated September 6, 2023, within 30 days after service of a copy of this order with notice of entry upon them, the limited liability defendant shall be deemed to have had generated sufficient receivables during the relevant period to have satisfied defendants' obligations under the agreement and repaid the purchase price. This order is self-executing.

This constitutes the Decision and Order of the Court.

Dated: March 15, 2024 Mincola, N.Y.

ENTER.

ENTERED

HON, DAWN JIMENE

Mar 21 2024

NASSAU COUNTY COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE