## SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK Appellate Division, Fourth Judicial Department

## 1168

## KA 09-00249

PRESENT: MARTOCHE, J.P., CENTRA, FAHEY, PERADOTTO, AND GREEN, JJ.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK, RESPONDENT,

7.7

MEMORANDUM AND ORDER

CHRISTOPHER T. WALKER, JR., DEFENDANT-APPELLANT. (APPEAL NO. 1.)

THOMAS J. EOANNOU, BUFFALO (JEREMY D. SCHWARTZ OF COUNSEL), FOR DEFENDANT-APPELLANT.

MICHAEL J. VIOLANTE, DISTRICT ATTORNEY, LOCKPORT (THOMAS H. BRANDT OF COUNSEL), FOR RESPONDENT.

-----

Appeal from a judgment of the Niagara County Court (Peter L. Broderick, Sr., J.), rendered November 1, 2007. The judgment convicted defendant, upon his plea of guilty, of attempted robbery in the first degree.

It is hereby ORDERED that the judgment so appealed from is unanimously reversed on the law, the plea is vacated, and the matter is remitted to Niagara County Court for further proceedings on the indictment.

Memorandum: Defendant appeals from two judgments convicting him, respectively, upon his plea of guilty of attempted robbery in the first degree (Penal Law §§ 110.00, 160.15 [3]) and upon his plea of guilty of attempted criminal possession of a controlled substance in the fifth degree (§§ 110.00, 220.06 [5]). We agree with defendant that reversal and vacatur of the pleas is required because County Court sentenced him to postrelease supervision as a component of each sentence but failed to advise him at the time of the plea proceedings of the period of postrelease supervision that would be imposed at sentencing (see People v Louree, 8 NY3d 541, 545-546; People v Catu, 4 NY3d 242, 245; People v Dean, 52 AD3d 1308, Iv denied 11 NY3d 736). In light of our determination, we need not address defendant's remaining contentions.

Entered: October 2, 2009 Patricia L. Morgan Clerk of the Court