SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK Appellate Division, Fourth Judicial Department

1408

KA 06-02823

PRESENT: SCUDDER, P.J., CENTRA, FAHEY, GREEN, AND GORSKI, JJ.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK, RESPONDENT,

۲7

MEMORANDUM AND ORDER

DARIUS BROWN, DEFENDANT-APPELLANT.

TIMOTHY P. DONAHER, PUBLIC DEFENDER, ROCHESTER (WILLIAM CLAUSS OF COUNSEL), FOR DEFENDANT-APPELLANT.

MICHAEL C. GREEN, DISTRICT ATTORNEY, ROCHESTER (STEPHEN X. O'BRIEN OF COUNSEL), FOR RESPONDENT.

Appeal from a judgment of the Supreme Court, Monroe County (Joseph D. Valentino, J.), rendered August 17, 2006. The judgment convicted defendant, upon his plea of guilty, of criminal possession of a weapon in the third degree.

It is hereby ORDERED that the judgment so appealed from is unanimously affirmed.

Memorandum: On appeal from a judgment convicting him upon his plea of quilty of criminal possession of a weapon in the third degree (Penal Law § 265.02 [former (4)]), defendant contends that Supreme Court erred in refusing to suppress the gun found on his person and his statements to the police on the ground that he was unlawfully detained. Contrary to defendant's contention, we conclude that the police officer had a founded suspicion that criminal activity was afoot and thus was justified, based on a common-law right of inquiry, in ordering defendant to stop walking away from him (see generally People v Bora, 83 NY2d 531, 534-536; People v De Bour, 40 NY2d 210, 223). The People therefore sustained their burden at the suppression hearing "of going forward to show the legality of the police conduct in the first instance" (People v Di Stefano, 38 NY2d 640, 652). further conclude that defendant's flight when approached by the officer, in conjunction with the attendant circumstances, gave rise to the requisite reasonable suspicion justifying police pursuit (see People v Martinez, 59 AD3d 1071, 1072, lv denied 12 NY3d 856).

Entered: November 13, 2009 Patricia L. Morgan Clerk of the Court