\_\_\_\_\_\_

This memorandum is uncorrected and subject to revision before publication in the New York Reports.

\_\_\_\_\_\_

No. 125

The People &c.,

Respondent,

V

Richard D. DiGuglielmo,
Appellant.

Andrew H. Schapiro, for appellant. Raffaelina Gianfrancesco, for respondent.

## MEMORANDUM:

The order of the Appellate Division should be affirmed.

Assuming that defendant made a specific request for the material alleged to be exculpatory, we find no reasonable possibility that any failure to disclose it contributed to the verdict (see People v Vilardi, 76 NY2d 67, 77 [1990]). Moreover,

- 2 - No. 125

we reject defendant's claim that the evidence supporting his conviction of depraved indifference murder is legally insufficient because of our decision in <a href="People v Feingold">People v Feingold</a> (7 NY3d 288 [2006]). The standard enunciated in <a href="Feingold">Feingold</a> simply does not apply retroactively to cases on collateral review (<a href="See Policano v Herbert">See Policano v</a> Herbert, 7 NY3d 588, 603-604 [2006]), and defendant's claim that such a result violates the federal Due Process Clause is without merit (<a href="Wainwright v Stone">Wainwright v Stone</a>, 414 US 21, 23-24 [1973]).

Order affirmed, in a memorandum. Chief Judge Lippman and Judges Ciparick, Graffeo, Read, Smith, Pigott and Jones concur.

Decided June 23, 2011