CASES

No. 177 SSM 17 4 On review of submissions pursuant to section 500.11 of the Rules, order James V. Aquavella, &c., et al., affirmed, with costs, in a memorandum. Appellants, Chief Judge Lippman and Judges v. Ciparick, Graffeo, Read, Smith, Pigott Ralph S. Viola, &c., and Jones concur. Respondent. 1 No. 92 Order affirmed, with costs, and certified question answered in the Rachel L. Arfa, et al., affirmative, in a memorandum. Appellants, Chief Judge Lippman and Judges v. Ciparick, Graffeo, Read, Smith, Pigott Gadi Zamir, et al., and Jones concur. Respondents, Eli Mor, et al., Defendants. (And Other Actions.) 4 No. 95 Order affirmed, in a memorandum. Chief Judge Lippman and Judges The People &c., Ciparick, Graffeo, Read, Smith, Pigott Respondent, and Jones concur. v. Jazzmone Brown, Appellant. No. 93 Order affirmed, with costs. 1 Opinion by Judge Ciparick. Centro Empresarial Cempresa S.A., Chief Judge Lippman and Judges Graffeo, et al., Read, Smith, Pigott and Jones concur. Appellants, v. America Movil, S.A.B. de C.V., et al., Respondents.

2 No. 112 The People &c., Respondent, v. Dean Pacquette, Appellant.

1 No. 181 SSM 21 Christopher Scott, Appellant, v. Rockaway Pratt, LLC, Respondent.

Order affirmed. Opinion by Judge Read. Judges Ciparick, Graffeo, Smith and Pigott concur. Chief Judge Lippman dissents in an opinion in which Judge Jones concurs.

On review of submissions pursuant to section 500.11 of the Rules, order reversed, with costs, order of Supreme Court, New York County, reinstated, and certified question answered in the negative. Supreme Court properly held that calculation of the amount of rent overcharge should be made by reference to a 1982 rent reduction order, which remained in effect during the four-year limitations period (see Matter of Cintron v Calogero, 15 NY3d 347 [2010]). Chief Judge Lippman and Judges Ciparick, Graffeo, Read, Smith, Pigott and Jones concur.

Order affirmed, in a memorandum. Chief Judge Lippman and Judges Ciparick, Graffeo, Read, Smith and Pigott concur. Judge Jones dissents and votes to reverse in an opinion.

Order reversed and a new trial ordered. Opinion by Judge Graffeo. Chief Judge Lippman and Judges Ciparick, Pigott and Jones concur. Judge Smith dissents and votes to affirm in an opinion in which Judge Read concurs.

MOTIONS

2 Mo. No. 2011-386 Melanie Alvarez, et al., Appellants, v. John Amicucci, et al., Respondents.

2 Mo. No. 2011-394 In the Matter of Birch Tree Partners, LLC, Appellant, v. Town of East Hampton, et al., Respondents.

4 Mo. No. 2011-368 In the Matter of Donna Black, Appellant, v. John Paul Watson, Respondent. Motion for leave to appeal denied with one hundred dollars costs and necessary reproduction disbursements.

Motion for leave to appeal denied with one hundred dollars costs and necessary reproduction disbursements.

Motion for leave to appeal denied with one hundred dollars costs and necessary reproduction disbursements.

Motion, insofar as it seeks leave to appeal from that portion of the Appellate Division order that affirmed so much of Family Court's order as adjudged that respondent did not willfully violate a prior order of the court, dismissed upon the ground that such portion of the order does not finally determine the proceeding within the meaning of the Constitution; motion for leave to appeal otherwise denied. 2 Mo. No. 2011-418 Cheryl Bladt, Respondent, v. Willard Bladt, Appellant.

2 Mo. No. 2011-417 The People &c., Respondent, v. Anthony Carleo, Appellant.

3 Mo. No. 2011-420 In the Matter of Echostar Satellite Corporation, Appellant, v. Tax Appeals Tribunal of the State of New York et al., Respondents.

1 Mo. No. 2011-383 In the Matter of Donald Faggen, &c.

Celia Faggen, Deceased.

Donald Faggen, Appellant, v. JP Morgan Chase, N.A. et al., Respondents.

4 Mo. No. 2011-385 In the Matter of the State of New York, Respondent, V. Daniel Gierszewski, &c., Appellant. Motion for leave to appeal denied.

Motion for leave to appeal denied.

Motion for leave to appeal granted.

Motion for leave to appeal denied with one hundred dollars costs and necessary reproduction disbursements.

Motion for leave to appeal denied. Motion for poor person relief dismissed as academic. 1 Mo. No. 2011-414 Glencord Building Corp. et al., Respondents, v. Elena Strujan, Appellant, et al., Defendant.

4 Mo. No. 2011-400 In the Matter of New York State Commission of Correction, Respondent, V. Timothy B. Howard, &c., Appellant.

1 Mo. No. 2011-408 OFSI Fund II, LLC, et al., Appellants, v. Canadian Imperial Bank of Commerce, &c. et al., Respondents, et al.,

Defendants.

4 Mo. No. 2011-365 In the Matter of Nicole J.R., Appellant, V. Jason M.R., Respondent. Motion for leave to appeal dismissed upon the ground that it does not lie (see CPLR 5602). Motion for poor person relief dismissed as academic.

Motion for leave to appeal denied with one hundred dollars costs and necessary reproduction disbursements.

Motion for leave to appeal denied with one hundred dollars costs and necessary reproduction disbursements.

Motion for leave to appeal granted.

Motion for leave to appeal denied. Motion for poor person relief dismissed as academic. 1 Mo. No. 2011-426 Schulte Roth & Zabel, LLP, Respondent, v. Philip J. Kassover, Appellant.

2 Mo. No. 2011-397 Tara Snolis, et al., Appellants, V. Timothy W. Clare, Defendant, William J. Poisson, &c., et al., Respondents. Motion for leave to appeal denied with one hundred dollars costs and necessary reproduction disbursements.

Motion for leave to appeal denied with one hundred dollars costs and necessary reproduction disbursements.

Motion for leave to appeal denied with one hundred dollars costs and necessary reproduction disbursements. Judge Smith took no part.

Motion for leave to appeal denied with one hundred dollars costs and necessary reproduction disbursements. 2 Mo. No. 2011-421 Robert Snyder, Appellant, et al., Plaintiff, v. Allstate Insurance Company, Respondent. Motion, insofar as it seeks leave to appeal from that portion of the Appellate Division order that affirmed the Supreme Court order dismissing the complaint, denied; motion for leave to appeal otherwise dismissed upon the ground that the remaining portions of the Appellate Division order sought to be appealed from do not finally determine the action within the meaning of the Constitution.

2 Mo. No. 2011-402 In the Matter of Marticia Springfield, v. Town of Huntington Housing Authority, et al., Respondents.

Motion for leave to appeal denied. Motion for poor person relief dismissed as academic.

Motion for leave to appeal denied.

Motion for leave to appeal denied.

Mo. No. 2011-384 1 Motion for leave to appeal denied. Motion for poor person relief In the Matter of Damon Bruce W., dismissed as academic. Jr., &c., Yvonne M.G., &c., Appellant, The Children's Aid Society, Respondent. 1 Mo. No. 2011-391 Motion for leave to appeal denied with one hundred dollars costs and In the Matter of Afshin necessary reproduction disbursements. Zartoshti, Appellant, v. Columbia University, Respondent.