COURT OF APPEALS 1 2 STATE OF NEW YORK 3 \_\_\_\_\_ 4 PEOPLE, 5 Respondent, 6 -against-No. 131 7 REECE RUDOLPH, 8 Appellant. 9 \_\_\_\_\_ 20 Eagle Street 10 Albany, New York 12207 May 29, 2013 11 12 Before: CHIEF JUDGE JONATHAN LIPPMAN 13 ASSOCIATE JUDGE VICTORIA A. GRAFFEO ASSOCIATE JUDGE SUSAN PHILLIPS READ 14 ASSOCIATE JUDGE ROBERT S. SMITH ASSOCIATE JUDGE EUGENE F. PIGOTT, JR. 15 ASSOCIATE JUDGE JENNY RIVERA ASSOCIATE JUDGE SHEILA ABDUS-SALAAM 16 Appearances: 17 JACK H. WEINER, ESQ. 18 ATTORNEY AT LAW Attorney for Appellant 19 1488 State Route 203 Chatham, NY 12037 20 EMILEE B. DAVENPORT, ADA 21 DISTRICT ATTORNEY, WARREN COUNTY Attorneys for Respondent 22 1340 State Route 9 Lake George, NY 12845 23 2.4 David Rutt 25 Official Court Transcriber

1	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: 131.
2	MR. WEINER: I would like to reserve two
3	minutes for rebuttal.
4	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Two minutes. Sure.
5	Go ahead.
6	MR. WEINER: May it please the court, my
7	name is Jack Weiner. I was appointed to represent
8	Mr. Rudolph.
9	The issue, really, here is the
10	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Counselor, talk
11	talk to us about the policy of of YOs, why it's
12	important if which I know you think it is, that
13	the judge make a ruling on on YO status.
14	MR. WEINER: I'm going to be very personal
15	here. I I was appointed to this case
16	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Sure.
17	MR. WEINER: and it looked like a
18	nothing case; nobody wanted it. And so they called
19	me up in Warren County to would I take it? I
20	said, sure, I have nothing to do; I'm an old man. I
21	
22	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: You look young to me.
23	Go ahead.
24	MR. WEINER: Thank you. I started to
25	examine it, and then I was I was a bit aghast

at what I saw. The - - - and then I looked at the 1 2 statute for the very first time, and it said - - -3 court said - - - it says "must". 4 CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Well, that's if you 5 literally look at the statute. MR. WEINER: Well, and then I looked it up 6 7 and the - - - and certainly looked "must", and I know 8 the policy, and I was reading - - - I've been reading 9 the case in the U.S. Supreme Court, and I've been 10 reading that one is concerned about the youthful 11 offender and giving the opportunity to create a new life. Well, this kid, Reece Rudolph - - -12 13 CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: This is all about his record, right? In the - - -14 15 MR. WEINER: That's his record, he - - -16 CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: In the end, this is 17 what this is about? 18 MR. WEINER: He couldn't get into the 19 marines. He couldn't get a job. This is outside of 20 the record, of course. He couldn't get into the UPS, 21 wouldn't hire him because he - - - he's a convicted felon. 22 23 CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: To agree with you, we 2.4 have to overrule McGowen? 25 MR. WEINER: I think you have to.

1	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: And why why
2	should we do that? Why is McGowen wrong and
3	MR. WEINER: I think McGowen is wrong
4	because it it places a situation on the
5	attorney representing the youthful offender to make
6	this make the request. And the youthful
7	and many of these attorneys, unfortunately, they're
8	the well, and as in this case, Mr. Rudolph's
9	grandparents hired a small-town lawyer, and again, I
10	don't mean to be pejorative, but a lawyer who didn't
11	pay attention to his
12	JUDGE SMITH: Well, this is not
13	MR. WEINER: pardon?
14	JUDGE SMITH: In this in this case,
15	as I read it, it's more it goes further than
16	McGowen. I mean, McGowen says you have to preserve
17	it. Here, it looks to me as though it was
18	consciously waived. I mean, they actually
19	MR. WEINER: No, it wasn't, Your Honor.
20	What what I think really happened here
21	JUDGE GRAFFEO: Well, he executed a waiver
22	of appeal, didn't he?
23	MR. WEINER: A waiver of appeal
24	JUDGE GRAFFEO: Judge – – – judge did an
25	allocution

1 MR. WEINER: - - - but that's earlier, with due respect - - -2 3 JUDGE GRAFFEO: - - - on the waiver of 4 appeal. 5 MR. WEINER: But as - - - this court has recently handed down several decisions where the 6 7 waiver of appeal is construed - - - especially when 8 the sentence is open, as it was in this case. There 9 was a waiver of appeal with respect to the admission 10 of a commission of a crime, but I - - -11 JUDGE SMITH: Well, I mean, I assume - - -12 I assume you argue that the - - - the YO ruling is 13 itself not waivable. MR. WEINER: That is correct. 14 15 JUDGE SMITH: And if it's not waivable, 16 then I suppose you would also argue then you can't 17 waive the right to appeal from it. 18 MR. WEINER: Are you - - - that's correct, 19 Your Honor. 20 JUDGE SMITH: Yeah, but I - - - I guess I'm 21 - - - I'm hung up on the first point. McGowen - - -22 McGowen says it has to be preserved. You say 23 overrule that. I am suggesting, even if we overrule 24 that, we're still not done. We have to find it 25 nonwaivable, don't we?

1	MR. WEINER: Well, the waive
2	JUDGE SMITH: There's a difference between
3	waiver and preservation.
4	MR. WEINER: The waivable aspect is the
5	- is as I think it's the Jones case, the
6	Johnson one of the recent cases out of this
7	court whereby they said a waiver is applicable only
8	to the crime but not to the not to the penalty.
9	I think it's hold on.
10	JUDGE SMITH: I don't remember the name
11	either, but I know the one you mean.
12	MR. WEINER: Pardon?
13	JUDGE SMITH: I think we're well,
14	we'll figure it out. Don't worry about it.
15	MR. WEINER: It's it's People v.
16	Johnson and Mar the Maracle case and the
17	Johnson case which I cite in my reply brief.
18	JUDGE GRAFFEO: What's the ramification
19	beyond this particular young man?
20	MR. WEINER: The ramification
21	JUDGE GRAFFEO: Is this going to mean that
22	that everyone that had a say, sentenced
23	as a predicate felon is going to be able to come back
24	in now and claim
25	MR. WEINER: I think I think we have

1 to - - -2 JUDGE GRAFFEO: - - - claim that - - -3 MR. WEINER: No, I think - - -4 JUDGE GRAFFEO: - - - the court didn't 5 address my YO status - - -MR. WEINER: I - - - I don't think so. 6 7 JUDGE GRAFFEO: - - - and we're going to have to deal with all of those cases? 8 9 MR. WEINER: I think this has to be said in 10 clarification of the language, and I think the - - -11 it should be going - - - going forward, the courts 12 have to make this kind of independent determination 13 and not accept - - -JUDGE SMITH: What about - - - what about a 14 15 case - - - what about a case like yours in which they did not do it, but the case is still on direct 16 17 appeal? What happens to that case? MR. WEINER: I think - - - I think that the 18 19 court should make that determination. 20 JUDGE SMITH: You're - - - you're saying, 21 okay, so they go back and make a YO determination. 22 MR. WEINER: Yes. 23 JUDGE SMITH: You're not telling them how 24 to make it; it's not the end of the world. 25 MR. WEINER: That's correct.

1 CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: But the repercussion 2 - - - but I gather your - - - your basic objective 3 here is really a policy one that's important, as in this case, that the kid's life is not ruined because 4 5 the statute isn't followed and there's no determination as to YO. 6 7 MR. WEINER: That - - - that's correct, 8 Your Honor. 9 CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: YO status is 10 important for that reason? 11 MR. WEINER: It's critical for that reason because this - - -12 13 JUDGE GRAFFEO: Then what - - - what's the remedy here? Does it go back to the judge to decide? 14 15 MR. WEINER: No. Unfortunate - - - the court should make that - - - but in this specific 16 17 case, I would like this court to issue an order 18 directing the court below to give him youthful 19 offender status. 20 JUDGE GRAFFEO: Do we - - - do we do that? 21 Do you have any precedent for us doing that? Don't 22 we normally remit - - -23 MR. WEINER: I do not - - -24 JUDGE GRAFFEO: Don't we normally - - -25 MR. WEINER: I do not normal - - - I do not

1 2 JUDGE GRAFFEO: - - - remit to the lower 3 court to exercise its judgment? 4 MR. WEINER: Yeah, but you may - - - you 5 may very well set forth your - - - in appropriate language that the court will understand what you're 6 7 saying. 8 JUDGE SMITH: You - - - you want the most 9 you can get, but wouldn't it - - - yeah - - -10 MR. WEINER: Of course. 11 JUDGE SMITH: But it is a possible result 12 that we would - - - even if we agree with you, we 13 would remit to the trial judge to consider de novo 14 whether this guy should get YO. 15 MR. WEINER: That's correct. 16 CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: And you would be 17 happy if you got that? 18 MR. WEINER: I would be happy if he received - - - because, again, I'm actually surprised 19 20 at how this - - - again, speaking of Mr. Rudolph, I'm 21 highly impressed by how he's create - - - turned his 22 life around. Even the - - - as you know from the 23 record, he was released from jail at a year and a 2.4 half - - -25 CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Yeah.

1 JUDGE PIGOTT: He almost had to, though. Ι 2 mean, this is not - - - this is fairly routine. I 3 get the point that, you know, you got to somehow, I 4 guess, put it on the record. But the DA can quite 5 often say, you know, when you're charged with three 6 B's and two C's and you're a major drug dealer as far 7 as we're concerned, weren't you - - - if you want YO, 8 you're going to have to get a jury to give it to you. 9 MR. WEINER: Well, that - - - that's - - -10 well, again, I - - - again, in earlier cases before 11 the - - - the courts have said you can't - - - the DA 12 can't tell you - - - tell the court what to do. 13 JUDGE PIGOTT: Right, but it can tell a defendant. 14 15 MR. WEINER: The court - - - the court has 16 to make an independent determination. In fact, I 17 think there were - - - there are several cases out of 18 the Fourth Department to that effect. 19 JUDGE PIGOTT: Right, but they can tell a 20 defendant. 21 MR. WEINER: Hum? 22 JUDGE PIGOTT: The DA can tell the 23 defendant. 24 MR. WEINER: He can tell the defendant, but 25 then the defendant can say, okay, but - - - but in

this case, that didn't even happen. The - - - the 1 2 defendant was sitting in court, the lower - - - the 3 DA brought up the issue. He sat there, again, not knowing what the ramifications were of being an 4 5 adult, treated as an adult versus the youthful offender. And no one explained to him that by going 6 7 this way - - -8 CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Well, that's what you 9 want, right? 10 MR. WEINER: Yeah. 11 JUDGE PIGOTT: What do you interpret in the 12 PSI where it says it's deferred, YO status, it said, 13 deferred. MR. WEINER: Well, they defer it to the 14 15 judge's decision. JUDGE PIGOTT: That what it stands for? 16 17 MR. WEINER: That's the way I read it. 18 CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Okay. 19 MR. WEINER: That's the way I read it. 20 Again, I would like - - -21 CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Thank you, counsel. 22 Appreciate it. You'll have your rebuttal. 23 MS. DAVENPORT: May it please the court, 2.4 Emilee Davenport for the People, the respondent in 25 this case.

1 CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Counsel, what - - -2 why the - - - why is not the consequence here on this 3 defendant so great that - - - that we shouldn't at 4 least have the judge make a ruling on this? 5 MS. DAVENPORT: Your Honor, he - - -6 CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Why would that not be 7 a good policy approach? YO can be so important to 8 the individual kid, you know, even if he's someone 9 accused of - - - of a relatively serious offense? 10 MS. DAVENPORT: Even representing the 11 prosecution, Your Honor, we understand that YO status 12 is something that is very important to many youths. 13 CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: So why shouldn't the judge always rule on it? Why, just from a common 14 15 sense - - - put aside whether you read the statute 16 that way or not, why isn't it a good thing to let 17 judge rule on the YO status? MS. DAVENPORT: Judge, for best practices, 18 19 I can't say that it wouldn't be a bad thing; however, 20 in this case, I think if you look at the record, the 21 judge did make a tantamount decision that the 22 interest of justice would not be served by granting 23 this particular individual that status. If we look 24 at the record - - -25 JUDGE SMITH: So you - - - you're saying

that either there was no waiver or the waiver was
ignored?
MS. DAVENPORT: I apologize. Which waiver,
Your Honor?
JUDGE SMITH: Waiver you're saying
that the it was not part of I thought it
was part of the plea deal. I thought the prosecutor
at least said, in the hearing of the defendant, YO is
not part of this plea deal, meaning he can't get it.
MS. DAVENPORT: That's correct, Your Honor.
It was an express condition of the plea agreement
which we
JUDGE SMITH: Okay. What what's the
I think the real my understanding of what
the chief was asking you is why would it be bad to
say you can't waive that; the judge always should
consider YO whether even if the prosecutor
wants to insist, as part of a plea bargain, that he
can't. What what would be bad about that rule?
MS. DAVENPORT: I think what would be bad
about that, Your Honor, is that it would it
would have a chilling effect on the types of
negotiations that the prosecution is willing to
engage in with the defendant. And in this case, as
the record is very clear

1	JUDGE SMITH: I mean, I see the point
2	go – – – go ahead, go ahead.
3	MS. DAVENPORT: Sorry, Judge. This case is
4	not a situation like under 720.21(b) where youthful
5	discretion or youthful offender status is
6	mandatory. This is all discretionary. It's not
7	taking away a right from
8	JUDGE SMITH: I mean, I guess I see
9	your point that maybe prosecutors will not be in such
10	a big hurry to offer pleas if they can't exclude YO,
11	but as a practical matter, is it I mean, they
12	can all the prosecutor can always urge the
13	judge not to give YO. The prosecutor can call to the
14	judge's attention all the facts that they think weigh
15	against youthful offender treatment. A prosecutor is
16	really going to say, I'm not going to offer a plea
17	because the judge might overrule me and make this guy
18	a youthful offender?
19	MS. DAVENPORT: I would like to think that
20	most prosecutors would not do that, Your Honor;
21	however
22	JUDGE PIGOTT: I'm not so sure. I mean,
23	you I guess you make the point in your brief
24	that this guy, in your view at least, is a major drug
25	dealer, and I would think that you'd say, I don't

want that hidden; I think this guy's a bad actor and 1 2 - - - and as he went on to do - - - I mean, he wanted 3 shock treatment, he wanted CASAT, whatever that 4 stands for, and - - - and I guess the DA - - - you 5 know, I don't know if he took a position on that, but 6 he knew what his options were and the idea that he 7 can - - can be charged with three Bs and two Cs and 8 then have it buried so that no one else in the 9 world's going to find out about it, I would think, 10 would be a factor that the DA would want to take into 11 consideration at this time. MS. DAVENPORT: Well, it certainly was in 12 13 this case. I'm just talking on a - - - in a general 14 sense as to what the judge asked me. I don't think 15 most prosecutors would go that harsh. But in this 16 specific case, Judge, the record's clear. He was a 17 big-time drug dealer. He was seventeen years old, 18 but he was a seventeen-year-old who had more than - -- nearly 400 packets of - - -19 20 JUDGE SMITH: Okay. But why can't the 21 judge figure all this out? Why - - - why do you have to get a waiver of Y - - - of YO treatment as part of 22 23 the plea deal? Why can't you have the judge look at 24 the - - - the pre-sentence investigation and say, 25 hey, he's a major drug dealer; he's not getting YO.

1	MS. DAVENPORT: I think the judge certainly
2	can, Your Honor. I don't think there's anything
3	prohibiting him
4	JUDGE SMITH: So why shouldn't we overrule
5	McGowen and go further and say that that the -
6	that when the statute says "must", it means must?
7	MS. DAVENPORT: Well, Your Honor, if I may
8	address the language of the statute, and I think
9	McGowen was properly decided, because the court's not
10	taking away anything that a youthful a young
11	person is entitled to. I think we would be in a
12	different situation if we were under 720.21(b).
13	Here, we're under 77 720.21(a), that
14	discretionary issue.
15	And I think the court, looking at the
16	language of that subsection 1, it says that the court
17	must pronounce or make the determination when
18	pronouncing sentencing for pronouncing
19	sentencing. It doesn't say that the court must
20	pronounce that the defendant is a YO or not. And if
21	you look at that language, the way I read it, Your
22	Honor, and I may be mistaken, but I'm reading it the
23	way it flows.
24	If we go to 720, I'd just like to read
25	directly from the language of 1. It says, "Upon a

1 conviction of an eligible youth, the court must order 2 a pre-sentence investigation of the defendant. After 3 the receipt of that written report of the 4 investigation and at the time of pronouncing sentence 5 - - - the court must determine whether or not someone is a youthful offender. And it goes on to say that 6 7 it's in - - - with regards to these criteria, what I 8 keep referring to as (a) and (b). 9 JUDGE SMITH: Why - - - why isn't - - - why 10 is it inconsistent on its face with that language for 11 the DA to say, before there's been a pre-sentence 12 investigation, at the time of the plea, YO is off the 13 table? 14 MS. DAVENPORT: Why would it be 15 inconsistent, Your Honor? JUDGE SMITH: Yeah. Why is that not a 16 17 violation of plain language of this statute? MS. DAVENPORT: I think it's not 18 19 inconsistent in the context of a discretionary. I 20 think would be in the context of a mandatory youthful 21 offender. JUDGE SMITH: But it's not - - - well, but 22 23 it's not discretionary for the judge; it's 24 discretionary, and the judge can do what he wants. 25 MS. DAVENPORT: Correct.

1 JUDGE SMITH: But the words "the court must determine" and when he must determine, it doesn't 2 3 look discretionary. 4 MS. DAVENPORT: At the time of sentencing. 5 JUDGE SMITH: Yeah. And so here, it got 6 determined at the time of plea. 7 MS. DAVENPORT: Correct. It's made prior 8 to. 9 JUDGE SMITH: So why isn't that wrong? 10 MS. DAVENPORT: I think it's not wrong, 11 Your Honor, because it's happening pre-negotiations. 12 It's something the defendant's on notice of. It's 13 something, at least in my county, that the court's on 14 notice of. These type of negotiations take place 15 with the court, and we don't enter into these pleas -16 17 JUDGE SMITH: I mean, I can understand the practical point that if the judge, knowing what he 18 19 knew about the case, thought this were a good case 20 for YO, he would have found out at the time of plea 21 and the deal never would have been made. 22 MS. DAVENPORT: Correct. 23 JUDGE SMITH: But as a matter of formal 2.4 compliance with the statute, why doesn't the judge 25 have to preserve until the time of sentencing and

1 until he's read the pre-sentence investigation, why 2 doesn't he have to - - - have to keep his options 3 open? Why doesn't - - - isn't that what the statute 4 says? 5 MS. DAVENPORT: I think if it was read 6 strictly and literally, it could be interpreted that 7 way, Your Honor, but as you know, the negotiations 8 that take place prior to a plea, at least in my 9 county, it's - - - the judge is part of it; it's a 10 three-way negotiation. Nothing's going to happen if 11 the judge isn't on board. So is it a determination 12 that's made prior to the pronouncement of sentencing? 13 Yes. Is it something that I think is so violative of 14 this statute that it - - -15 CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Yeah, but - - - but 16 counsel, I'll put it to you another way. If - - - if 17 the literal reading of it means that - - - and if, as 18 you agree, it's a better practice, why don't we just come back to what we said before? Why not let the 19 20 judge - - - require that the judge rule on the YO? 21 Why - - - why is this not good policy and good law? 22 The statute reads that way, and it's a better 23 practice which you all acknowledge is a good 24 practice. Why - - - why are we fighting about this? 25 MS. DAVENPORT: Your Honor, I certainly

1 wouldn't fight on policies or the way the court would 2 like to change the direction of its jurisprudence. 3 What I'm arguing is respect to the specific case. 4 And I would submit to the court respectfully that 5 even if you change your jurisprudence, if you overrule McGowen - - -6 7 CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Right. 8 MS. DAVENPORT: - - - that this defendant, 9 this case should not be disturbed. Now, policy is 10 changing all over the state, as we're well aware. 11 I'm aware that the legislature - - -12 CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: But it comes to us -13 14 MS. DAVENPORT: - - - is changing. 15 CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: - - - in this case. 16 MS. DAVENPORT: Correct, it does. 17 CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: That's the problem. MS. DAVENPORT: And I think it - - - you 18 19 have the opportunity, Your Honor - - - if the court 20 would like to change its jurisprudence, you have it 21 in this case, but that does not require you to change 22 the result of this specific case, and in fact, it may 23 be an example to be set that when someone is 2.4 convicted of these types of crimes when they're so 25 severe - - -

1	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Counselor, but you're
2	not seriously saying that we should say we're going
3	to change our jurisprudence going forward, but in
4	this case that came to us, no, no, no; this is a bad
5	kid and we're not going to do it, when the judge is
6	going to make the ultimate decision anyway.
7	MS. DAVENPORT: The judge will make the
8	decision.
9	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: So
10	MS. DAVENPORT: I just think it gives you
11	the opportunity.
12	JUDGE READ: Let me ask you this. Assuming
13	that we we make a change, are what are
14	the practical implications, or are there any, beyond
15	this case?
16	MS. DAVENPORT: As a prosecutor who does
17	not do just appeals, I do trials and pleas and local
18	courts, I see there being very many practical
19	implications. The defendant is entitled to have a
20	fair offer. I think the People are also entitled to
21	have follow-through on that offer. So we're going to
22	have defendants coming back and saying, guess what,
23	the court complied with the Court of Appeals decision
24	in McGowen, but it's not enough now; I want
25	everything back.

1	JUDGE SMITH: But it's but the
2	defendant I can see the pro I mean, maybe
3	some of us have Catu in the back of our minds. I can
4	see the problem
5	MS. DAVENPORT: Pardon?
6	JUDGE GRAFFEO: PRS.
7	JUDGE SMITH: Yeah, post-release
8	supervision. I can see the problem if we're saying
9	that everybody who who didn't get the benefit
10	of this decision we might hypothetically make in
11	People v. Rudolph, he gets his plea back, but all
12	- all we're saying is that all we would be
13	saying is that the judge in some number of
14	cases, the judge has to take a look and see whether
15	this is an appropriate case for YO. And if it is, it
16	isn't, and if it's if it is, it is, and if it's
17	not, it isn't. Why is that so terrible?
18	MS. DAVENPORT: I would not argue to the
19	court that it is so terrible.
20	JUDGE PIGOTT: Well, it's more than that
21	because I think, it seems clear on this record, that
22	he did or she did, I don't know who the judge
23	was but it's not on the record. I mean, it
24	seemed to me from the very beginning everybody knew
25	this wasn't going to be a YO case, and and

1 that's the way it was handled because 720 got 2 switched, you know, from pre-plea to post-plea. The 3 PSI said exactly what he was supposed to; it's up to 4 you, Judge. And the judge said, I'm not doing it, 5 it's not on the record. MS. DAVENPORT: Correct. The only thing I 6 7 think that is arguably on the record that could be 8 construed as tantamount to that finding is when the 9 defendant says, I'd like to have a shock 10 recommendation, and the judge says, no way, not based 11 on the amount of money you had, not based on the 12 drugs and the activity alleged. That is what we 13 would argue as tantamount to a statement that the 14 interests of justice simply wouldn't be served by 15 granting you the protections of a YO status. 16 JUDGE SMITH: Does the - - -17 CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Okay, counsel - - -18 I'm sorry. Judge Smith. 19 JUDGE SMITH: But doesn't - - - doesn't the 20 - - - I mean, I understand your point, but doesn't 21 the statute require that the judge make that decision 22 formally at a specific time after he's read the pre-23 sentence report? 2.4 MS. DAVENPORT: The statute does literally 25 state that.

JUDGE SMITH: And why - - - why shouldn't -1 - - even though it might - - - might well not change 2 3 the result here, but why should we not require literal compliance with the statute? 4 5 MS. DAVENPORT: In this case and at this 6 point, the way the legi - - - or excuse me - - - the 7 jurisprudence has operated, it hasn't been a 8 requirement of the courts. 9 JUDGE SMITH: I - - - yeah. Why should we 10 not change our law? 11 MS. DAVENPORT: I think there's always a good reason to have best practices. So should the 12 13 court change it in the future? I can't say that I 14 have a straight-faced objection to that. Everyone is 15 entitled to the best practice. If the court changes 16 that, quite frankly, I would say, so be it. But I am 17 saying that I don't think it's - - - should be 18 applicable to this case. 19 CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Okay. Thanks, 20 counselor. 21 MR. WEINER: Thank you. CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Counsel, rebuttal? 22 23 MR. WEINER: I do not think that the judge 24 below even considered the issue of a youthful 25 offender.

1	JUDGE PIGOTT: Well, look at it this way -
2	
3	MR. WEINER: He said this is part of the
4	deal.
5	JUDGE PIGOTT: You know, when we talked
6	about PRS, the the argument from the defendants
7	are, gee, I didn't know that I was going to be
8	subject to post-release supervision. And we said,
9	okay, so we're going to resentence you. Now, if this
10	defendant wants to say, gee, I didn't know that I
11	could have gotten a YO out of this thing; therefore I
12	want to vacate my plea and I want to go to trial on
13	three B's and two C's, that's fine. But but
14	I'm not sure that that's these are the same
15	thing.
16	MR. WEINER: The position of a defendant is
17	basically in accordance with this court's opinion, he
18	he waived any appeal with respect to the guilt,
19	but this issue of sentence
20	JUDGE SMITH: Is he is he asking for
21	his plea back?
22	MR. WEINER: No. He's he's admitting
23	to his plea, but he wants youthful offender
24	sentencing.
25	JUDGE READ: Could he? Could he ask for

his plea back? He could, couldn't he? 1 2 I don't think so. MR. WEINER: 3 JUDGE READ: No? MR. WEINER: I - - - I don't think so. 4 5 JUDGE SMITH: I mean, he knew - - - he took the plea. 6 7 MR. WEINER: He took the plea. 8 JUDGE SMITH: He took the plea under an 9 assumption less - - -10 MR. WEINER: And again he - - -11 JUDGE SMITH: - - - under an assumption less favorable to himself than the - - - if we 12 13 reverse here, he got a better plea than the one he 14 agreed to. 15 MR. WEINER: Oh, but again, at the time - -16 - the sentencing was so open as part - - - part - - -17 you know, was between one year - - - two years and 18 nine years as to - - - but they kept it - - - that he 19 didn't - - -20 JUDGE PIGOTT: There's a cooperation 21 component, too, right? 22 MR. WEINER: There's a cop - - -23 cooperation component. And I was - - - again, 24 completely within the discretion of the District 25 Attorney - - - of the District Attorney's office.

1	They had they had everything in their hands and
2	they we had a kid here who just wanted to get
3	rid of this and go on with his start a
4	start a new life, and he did. He went to jail and
5	got out of there faster than he should have because
б	he was how hard he worked.
7	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Okay, counselor.
8	Thanks.
9	MR. WEINER: Thank you.
10	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Thank you both.
11	Appreciate it.
12	(Court is adjourned)
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	CERTIFICATION
2	
3	I, David Rutt, certify that the foregoing
4	transcript of proceedings in the Court of Appeals of
5	People v. Reece Rudolph, No. 131 was prepared using
6	the required transcription equipment and is a true
7	and accurate record of the proceedings.
8	
9	TOTA
10	Land M
11	Signature:
12	
13	Agency Name: eScribers
14	
15	Address of Agency: 700 West 192nd Street
16	Suite # 607
17	New York, NY 10040
18	
19	Date: June 3, 2013
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	