ADDITIONAL CHARGES

Affirmative Defenses

IDENTITY THEFT, OR

UNLAWFUL POSSESSION OF PERSONAL IDENTIFICATION INFORMATION PENAL LAW 190.84

	If y	/ou	find	that	the	Pe	ople	hav	e n	ot	prov	ven	beyor	ıd	a
reasc	onal	ole c	doubt	any	one	or r	nore	of t	hose	e e	leme	ents	, you r	nu	st
find 1	the	defe	enda	nt n	ot g	uilty	of	(<u>spe</u>	cify	na	me	of	crime)	, а	ıs
charç	ged	in th	ne			cou	ınt.								

If you find that the People have proven beyond a reasonable doubt each of those elements, then you must consider the affirmative defense the defendant has raised.¹

Under our law, it is an affirmative defense to a charge of (specify name of crime), that

Select appropriate alternative:

the defendant was under twenty-one years of age at the time of committing the offense and he/she used or possessed the personal identifying or identification information of another solely for the purpose of purchasing alcohol;

the defendant was under eighteen years of age at the time of committing the offense and he/she used or possessed the personal identifying or identification information of another solely for the purpose of purchasing tobacco products;

_

¹See Penal Law §190.84.

the defendant used or possessed the personal identifying or identification of another person solely for the purpose of misrepresenting his/her age to gain access to a place the access to which is restricted based on age.

Under the law, the defendant has the burden of proving an affirmative defense by a preponderance of the evidence.

In determining whether the defendant has proven the affirmative defense by a preponderance of the evidence, you may consider evidence introduced by the People or by the defendant.

A preponderance of the evidence means the greater part of the believable and reliable evidence, not in terms of the number of witnesses or the length of time to present the evidence, but in terms of its quality, weight and convincing effect. For the affirmative defense to be proven by a preponderance of the evidence, the evidence that supports the affirmative defense must be of such convincing quality as to outweigh any evidence to the contrary.

If you find that the defendant has not proven the affirmative defense by a preponderance of the evidence, then, based on your initial determination that the People have proven beyond a reasonable doubt each of the elements of (<u>specify name of crime</u>), you must find the defendant guilty of that crime as charged in the _____ count.

If you find that the defendant has proven the affirmative defense by a preponderance of the evidence, then you must find the defendant not guilty of (<u>specify name of crime</u>), as charged in the _____ count.