PRESENT: Hon. Luis A. Gonzalez, Presiding Justice, Peter Tom Angela M. Mazzarelli David Friedman John W. Sweeny, Jr., Justices.

-----X The People of the State of New York,

Respondent,

-against-

M-2559 Ind. No. 3802/08

Gavin Scott,

Defendant-Appellant. -----X

An appeal having been taken from a judgment of the Supreme Court, Bronx County, entered on or about August 15, 2011,

Now, upon reading and filing the stipulation of the parties hereto, dated March 12, 2014, and due deliberation having been had thereon,

It is ordered that the appeal is withdrawn in accordance with the aforesaid stipulation.

SumuRj

PRESENT: Hon. Luis A. Gonzalez, Presiding Justice, Peter Tom Angela M. Mazzarelli David Friedman John W. Sweeny, Jr., Justices. -----X The People of the State of New York, M-2247 Respondent, DC #33 Ind. No. 1532/10 -against-Raymond Acosta, Defendant-Appellant.

-----X

An appeal having been taken to this Court by defendant from the judgment of the Supreme Court, Bronx County, rendered on or about April 10, 2012,

And said appeal not having been brought on for hearing pursuant to the provisions of the Rules of Practice of the Appellate Division, First Department,

And a calendar call having been held by the Clerk of the Court on May 15, 2014, pursuant to Rule 600.12(c) of said Rules of Practice, and counsel for appellant having submitted an affirmation seeking an enlargement of time in which to perfect the appeal,

Now, upon the Court's own motion, it is

Ordered that appellant's time in which to perfect the appeal is enlarged to the November 2014 Term of this Court and counsel is directed to so perfect.

Sumukj

PRESENT: Hon. Luis A. Gonzalez, Peter Tom Angela M. Mazzarelli David Friedman John W. Sweeny, Jr., The People of the State of New York, Respondent, -against-Jose Aguilar,

Defendant-Appellant.

An appeal having been taken to this Court by defendant from the judgment of the Supreme Court, Bronx County, rendered on or about June 30, 2004,

And said appeal not having been brought on for hearing pursuant to the provisions of the Rules of Practice of the Appellate Division, First Department,

And a calendar call having been held by the Clerk of the Court on May 15, 2014, pursuant to Rule 600.12(c) of said Rules of Practice, and counsel for appellant having submitted an affirmation seeking an enlargement of time in which to perfect the appeal,

Now, upon the Court's own motion, it is

Ordered that appellant's time in which to perfect the appeal is enlarged to the November 2014 Term of this Court and counsel is directed to so perfect.

SumuRp

PRESENT: Hon. Luis A. Gonzalez, Presiding Justice, Peter Tom Angela M. Mazzarelli David Friedman John W. Sweeny, Jr., Justices. ------X The People of the State of New York, Respondent, M-2254 DC #40 -against- Ind. No. 6455/09 Nathalie Ambroise, Defendant-Appellant.

An appeal having been taken to this Court by defendant from the judgment of the Supreme Court, New York County, rendered on or about January 6, 2011,

And said appeal not having been brought on for hearing pursuant to the provisions of the Rules of Practice of the Appellate Division, First Department,

And a calendar call having been held by the Clerk of the Court on May 15, 2014, pursuant to Rule 600.12(c) of said Rules of Practice, and counsel for appellant having submitted an affirmation seeking an enlargement of time in which to perfect the appeal,

Now, upon the Court's own motion, it is

Ordered that appellant's time in which to perfect the appeal is enlarged to the November 2014 Term of this Court and counsel is directed to so perfect.

Sumukj

PRESENT: Hon. Luis A. Gonzalez, Peter Tom Angela M. Mazzarelli David Friedman John W. Sweeny, Jr., The People of the State of New York, Respondent, -----X Michael Antwi, Defendant-Appellant.

-----X

An appeal having been taken to this Court by defendant from the judgment of the Supreme Court, Bronx County, rendered on or about December 2, 2009,

And said appeal not having been brought on for hearing pursuant to the provisions of the Rules of Practice of the Appellate Division, First Department,

And a calendar call having been held by the Clerk of the Court on May 15, 2014, pursuant to Rule 600.12(c) of said Rules of Practice, and counsel for appellant having submitted an affirmation seeking an enlargement of time in which to perfect the appeal,

Now, upon the Court's own motion, it is

Ordered that appellant's time in which to perfect the appeal is enlarged to the November 2014 Term of this Court and counsel is directed to so perfect.

Sumukj

PRESENT: Hon. Luis A. Gonzalez, Peter Tom Angela M. Mazzarelli David Friedman John W. Sweeny, Jr., The People of the State of New York, Respondent, -against-Jeffrey Brown, Defendant-Appellant.

-----X

An appeal having been taken to this Court by defendant from the judgment of the Supreme Court, New York County, rendered on or about November 29, 2011,

And said appeal not having been brought on for hearing pursuant to the provisions of the Rules of Practice of the Appellate Division, First Department,

And a calendar call having been held by the Clerk of the Court on May 15, 2014, pursuant to Rule 600.12(c) of said Rules of Practice, and counsel for appellant having submitted an affirmation seeking an enlargement of time in which to perfect the appeal,

Now, upon the Court's own motion, it is

Ordered that appellant's time in which to perfect the appeal is enlarged to the November 2014 Term of this Court and counsel is directed to so perfect.

Sumukj

PRESENT: Hon. Luis A. Gonzalez, Presiding Justice, Peter Tom Angela M. Mazzarelli David Friedman John W. Sweeny, Jr., Justices. ------X The People of the State of New York, Respondent, M-2280 DC #61 -against- Ind. No. 3505N/08 William Candelario, Defendant-Appellant.

An appeal having been taken to this Court by defendant from the judgment of the Supreme Court, New York County, rendered on or about December 21, 2011,

And said appeal not having been brought on for hearing pursuant to the provisions of the Rules of Practice of the Appellate Division, First Department,

And a calendar call having been held by the Clerk of the Court on May 15, 2014, pursuant to Rule 600.12(c) of said Rules of Practice, and counsel for appellant having submitted an affirmation seeking an enlargement of time in which to perfect the appeal,

Now, upon the Court's own motion, it is

Ordered that appellant's time in which to perfect the appeal is enlarged to the November 2014 Term of this Court and counsel is directed to so perfect.

Sumukj

PRESENT: Hon. Luis A. Gonzalez, Peter Tom Angela M. Mazzarelli David Friedman John W. Sweeny, Jr., The People of the State of New York, Respondent, -----X Robert Cedeno, Defendant-Appellant.

-----X

An appeal having been taken to this Court by defendant from the judgment of the Supreme Court, Bronx County, rendered on or about January 11, 2012

And said appeal not having been brought on for hearing pursuant to the provisions of the Rules of Practice of the Appellate Division, First Department,

And a calendar call having been held by the Clerk of the Court on May 15, 2014, pursuant to Rule 600.12(c) of said Rules of Practice, and counsel for appellant having submitted an affirmation seeking an enlargement of time in which to perfect the appeal,

Now, upon the Court's own motion, it is

Ordered that appellant's time in which to perfect the appeal is enlarged to the November 2014 Term of this Court and counsel is directed to so perfect.

Sumukj

PRESENT: Hon. Luis A. Gonzalez, Presiding Justice, Peter Tom Angela M. Mazzarelli David Friedman John W. Sweeny, Jr., Justices. The People of the State of New York, Respondent, M-2284 DC #65 -against- Ind. No. 1311/11 Enemud Chukwudkie, Defendant-Appellant.

-----X

An appeal having been taken to this Court by defendant from the judgment of the Supreme Court, New York County, rendered on or about November 2, 2011,

And said appeal not having been brought on for hearing pursuant to the provisions of the Rules of Practice of the Appellate Division, First Department,

And a calendar call having been held by the Clerk of the Court on May 15, 2014, pursuant to Rule 600.12(c) of said Rules of Practice, and counsel for appellant having submitted an affirmation seeking an enlargement of time in which to perfect the appeal,

Now, upon the Court's own motion, it is

Ordered that appellant's time in which to perfect the appeal is enlarged to the November 2014 Term of this Court and counsel is directed to so perfect.

Sumukj

PRESENT: Hon. Luis A. Gonzalez, Peter Tom Angela M. Mazzarelli David Friedman John W. Sweeny, Jr., The People of the State of New York, Respondent, -----X The People of the State of New York, Respondent, M-2287 DC #68 Ind. No. 3094/11 Roberto Colon, Defendant-Appellant.

-----X

An appeal having been taken to this Court by defendant from the judgment of the Supreme Court, New York County, rendered on or about February 15, 2012,

And said appeal not having been brought on for hearing pursuant to the provisions of the Rules of Practice of the Appellate Division, First Department,

And a calendar call having been held by the Clerk of the Court on May 15, 2014, pursuant to Rule 600.12(c) of said Rules of Practice, and counsel for appellant having submitted an affirmation seeking an enlargement of time in which to perfect the appeal,

Now, upon the Court's own motion, it is

Ordered that appellant's time in which to perfect the appeal is enlarged to the November 2014 Term of this Court and counsel is directed to so perfect.

SumuRp

PRESENT: Hon. Luis A. Gonzalez, Peter Tom Angela M. Mazzarelli David Friedman John W. Sweeny, Jr., The People of the State of New York, Respondent, -----X The People of the State of New York, Respondent, M-2288 DC #69 Ind. No. 18/10 Angel Concepcion, Defendant-Appellant.

-----X

An appeal having been taken to this Court by defendant from the judgment of the Supreme Court, Bronx County, rendered on or about March 7, 2012,

And said appeal not having been brought on for hearing pursuant to the provisions of the Rules of Practice of the Appellate Division, First Department,

And a calendar call having been held by the Clerk of the Court on May 15, 2014, pursuant to Rule 600.12(c) of said Rules of Practice, and counsel for appellant having submitted an affirmation seeking an enlargement of time in which to perfect the appeal,

Now, upon the Court's own motion, it is

Ordered that appellant's time in which to perfect the appeal is enlarged to the November 2014 Term of this Court and counsel is directed to so perfect.

Sumukj

PRESENT: Hon. Luis A. Gonzalez, Presiding Justice, Peter Tom Angela M. Mazzarelli David Friedman John W. Sweeny, Jr., Justices. The People of the State of New York, Respondent, M-2291 DC #72 -against- Ind. No. 121/11 Rahmel Craft,

Ranmel Craft, Defendant-Appellant.

An appeal having been taken to this Court by defendant from the judgment of the Supreme Court, New York County, rendered on or about April 3, 2012,

And said appeal not having been brought on for hearing pursuant to the provisions of the Rules of Practice of the Appellate Division, First Department,

And a calendar call having been held by the Clerk of the Court on May 15, 2014, pursuant to Rule 600.12(c) of said Rules of Practice, and counsel for appellant having submitted an affirmation seeking an enlargement of time in which to perfect the appeal,

Now, upon the Court's own motion, it is

Ordered that appellant's time in which to perfect the appeal is enlarged to the November 2014 Term of this Court and counsel is directed to so perfect.

SumuRp

PRESENT: Hon. Luis A. Gonzalez, Presiding Justice, Peter Tom Angela M. Mazzarelli David Friedman John W. Sweeny, Jr., Justices. The People of the State of New York, Respondent, M-2292 DC #73 -against- Ind. No. 1037/09 Rafael Cruz,

Defendant-Appellant.

An appeal having been taken to this Court by defendant from the judgment of the Supreme Court, New York County, rendered on or about February 3, 2011,

And said appeal not having been brought on for hearing pursuant to the provisions of the Rules of Practice of the Appellate Division, First Department,

And a calendar call having been held by the Clerk of the Court on May 15, 2014, pursuant to Rule 600.12(c) of said Rules of Practice, and counsel for appellant having submitted an affirmation seeking an enlargement of time in which to perfect the appeal,

Now, upon the Court's own motion, it is

Ordered that appellant's time in which to perfect the appeal is enlarged to the November 2014 Term of this Court and counsel is directed to so perfect.

SumuRp

PRESENT: Hon. Luis A. Gonzalez, Presiding Justice, Peter Tom Angela M. Mazzarelli David Friedman John W. Sweeny, Jr., Justices. The People of the State of New York, Respondent, M-2293 DC #74 -against- Ind. No. 5925/09 Janethza Cruz, Defendant-Appellant.

-----X

An appeal having been taken to this Court by defendant from the judgment of the Supreme Court, New York County, rendered on or about October 21, 2010,

And said appeal not having been brought on for hearing pursuant to the provisions of the Rules of Practice of the Appellate Division, First Department,

And a calendar call having been held by the Clerk of the Court on May 15, 2014, pursuant to Rule 600.12(c) of said Rules of Practice, and counsel for appellant having submitted an affirmation seeking an enlargement of time in which to perfect the appeal,

Now, upon the Court's own motion, it is

Ordered that appellant's time in which to perfect the appeal is enlarged to the November 2014 Term of this Court and counsel is directed to so perfect.

Sumukj

PRESENT: Hon. Luis A. Gonzalez, Peter Tom Angela M. Mazzarelli David Friedman John W. Sweeny, Jr., The People of the State of New York, Respondent, -against-Henry Darden, Defendant-Appellant.

-----X

An appeal having been taken to this Court by defendant from the judgment of the Supreme Court, New York County, rendered on or about November 14, 2011,

And said appeal not having been brought on for hearing pursuant to the provisions of the Rules of Practice of the Appellate Division, First Department,

And a calendar call having been held by the Clerk of the Court on May 15, 2014, pursuant to Rule 600.12(c) of said Rules of Practice, and counsel for appellant having submitted an affirmation seeking an enlargement of time in which to perfect the appeal,

Now, upon the Court's own motion, it is

Ordered that appellant's time in which to perfect the appeal is enlarged to the November 2014 Term of this Court and counsel is directed to so perfect.

Sumukj

Present - Hon. Luis A. Gonzalez, Presiding Justice, Peter Tom Angela M. Mazzarelli David Friedman John W. Sweeny, Jr., Justices.

-----X

The People of the State of New York,

Respondent,

-against-

Robert Davoren,

M-2298 DC #79 Ind. No. 5692/08

Defendant-Appellant.

An appeal having been taken to this Court by defendant from the judgment of the Supreme Court, New York County, rendered on or about November 17, 2009,

And said appeal not having been brought on for hearing pursuant to the provisions of the Rules of Practice of the Appellate Division, First Department,

And a calendar call having been held by the Clerk of the Court on May 15, 2014, pursuant to Rule 600.12(c) of said Rules of Practice, and counsel for appellant having submitted an affirmation seeking an enlargement of time in which to perfect the appeal,

Now, upon the Court's own motion,

It is ordered that the appellant's time to perfect the appeal is enlarged to the November 2014 Term and counsel is directed to so perfect.

SumuRp

PRESENT: Hon. Luis A. Gonzalez, Peter Tom Angela M. Mazzarelli David Friedman John W. Sweeny, Jr., The People of the State of New York, Respondent, -----X Juan Delarosa, Defendant-Appellant.

-----X

An appeal having been taken to this Court by defendant from the judgment of the Supreme Court, New York County, rendered on or about June 30, 2010,

And said appeal not having been brought on for hearing pursuant to the provisions of the Rules of Practice of the Appellate Division, First Department,

And a calendar call having been held by the Clerk of the Court on May 15, 2014, pursuant to Rule 600.12(c) of said Rules of Practice, and counsel for appellant having submitted an affirmation seeking an enlargement of time in which to perfect the appeal,

Now, upon the Court's own motion, it is

Ordered that appellant's time in which to perfect the appeal is enlarged to the November 2014 Term of this Court and counsel is directed to so perfect.

Sumukj

PRESENT: Hon. Luis A. Gonzalez, Peter Tom Angela M. Mazzarelli David Friedman John W. Sweeny, Jr., The People of the State of New York, Respondent, -----X Jose Delorbe,

Defendant-Appellant.

An appeal having been taken to this Court by defendant from the judgment of the Supreme Court, New York County, rendered on or about May 23, 2012,

And said appeal not having been brought on for hearing pursuant to the provisions of the Rules of Practice of the Appellate Division, First Department,

And a calendar call having been held by the Clerk of the Court on May 15, 2014, pursuant to Rule 600.12(c) of said Rules of Practice, and counsel for appellant having submitted an affirmation seeking an enlargement of time in which to perfect the appeal,

Now, upon the Court's own motion, it is

Ordered that appellant's time in which to perfect the appeal is enlarged to the November 2014 Term of this Court and counsel is directed to so perfect.

SumuRp

PRESENT: Hon. Luis A. Gonzalez, Presiding Justice, Peter Tom Angela M. Mazzarelli David Friedman John W. Sweeny, Jr., Justices. The People of the State of New York, Respondent, M-2307 DC #87 -against- Ind. No. 3677/11 Kaubar Dobbins, Defendant-Appellant.

-----X

An appeal having been taken to this Court by defendant from the judgment of the Supreme Court, New York County, rendered on or about November 22, 2011,

And said appeal not having been brought on for hearing pursuant to the provisions of the Rules of Practice of the Appellate Division, First Department,

And a calendar call having been held by the Clerk of the Court on May 15, 2014, pursuant to Rule 600.12(c) of said Rules of Practice, and counsel for appellant having submitted an affirmation seeking an enlargement of time in which to perfect the appeal,

Now, upon the Court's own motion, it is

Ordered that appellant's time in which to perfect the appeal is enlarged to the November 2014 Term of this Court and counsel is directed to so perfect.

SumuRp

PRESENT: Hon. Luis A. Gonzalez, Peter Tom Angela M. Mazzarelli David Friedman John W. Sweeny, Jr., The People of the State of New York, Respondent, -----X Macquon Fashaw, Defendant-Appellant.

-----X

An appeal having been taken to this Court by defendant from the judgment of the Supreme Court, New York County, rendered on or about May 15, 2012,

And said appeal not having been brought on for hearing pursuant to the provisions of the Rules of Practice of the Appellate Division, First Department,

And a calendar call having been held by the Clerk of the Court on May 15, 2014, pursuant to Rule 600.12(c) of said Rules of Practice, and counsel for appellant having submitted an affirmation seeking an enlargement of time in which to perfect the appeal,

Now, upon the Court's own motion, it is

Ordered that appellant's time in which to perfect the appeal is enlarged to the November 2014 Term of this Court and counsel is directed to so perfect.

Sumukj

PRESENT: Hon. Luis A. Gonzalez, Presiding Justice, Peter Tom Angela M. Mazzarelli David Friedman John W. Sweeny, Jr., Justices. ------X The People of the State of New York, Respondent, M-2323 DC #102 -against- Ind. No. 1360/09 Vaselios Giamagas, Defendant-Appellant.

An appeal having been taken to this Court by defendant from the judgment of the Supreme Court, New York County, rendered on or about February 25, 2010,

And said appeal not having been brought on for hearing pursuant to the provisions of the Rules of Practice of the Appellate Division, First Department,

And a calendar call having been held by the Clerk of the Court on May 15, 2014, pursuant to Rule 600.12(c) of said Rules of Practice, and counsel for appellant having submitted an affirmation seeking an enlargement of time in which to perfect the appeal,

Now, upon the Court's own motion, it is

Ordered that appellant's time in which to perfect the appeal is enlarged to the November 2014 Term of this Court and counsel is directed to so perfect.

Sumukj

PRESENT: Hon. Luis A. Gonzalez, Peter Tom Angela M. Mazzarelli David Friedman John W. Sweeny, Jr., The People of the State of New York, Respondent, -----X Michael Gibbs, Defendant-Appellant.

-----X

An appeal having been taken to this Court by defendant from the judgment of the Supreme Court, New York County, rendered on or about May 4, 2011,

And said appeal not having been brought on for hearing pursuant to the provisions of the Rules of Practice of the Appellate Division, First Department,

And a calendar call having been held by the Clerk of the Court on May 15, 2014, pursuant to Rule 600.12(c) of said Rules of Practice, and counsel for appellant having submitted an affirmation seeking an enlargement of time in which to perfect the appeal,

Now, upon the Court's own motion, it is

Ordered that appellant's time in which to perfect the appeal is enlarged to the November 2014 Term of this Court and counsel is directed to so perfect.

Sumukj

PRESENT: Hon. Luis A. Gonzalez, Peter Tom Angela M. Mazzarelli David Friedman John W. Sweeny, Jr., The People of the State of New York, Respondent, -against-Sean Green,

Defendant-Appellant.

An appeal having been taken to this Court by defendant from the judgment of the Supreme Court, New York County, rendered on or about July 29, 2009,

And said appeal not having been brought on for hearing pursuant to the provisions of the Rules of Practice of the Appellate Division, First Department,

And a calendar call having been held by the Clerk of the Court on May 15, 2014, pursuant to Rule 600.12(c) of said Rules of Practice, and counsel for appellant having submitted an affirmation seeking an enlargement of time in which to perfect the appeal,

Now, upon the Court's own motion, it is

Ordered that appellant's time in which to perfect the appeal is enlarged to the November 2014 Term of this Court and counsel is directed to so perfect.

Sumukj

PRESENT: Hon. Luis A. Gonzalez, Presiding Justice, Peter Tom Angela M. Mazzarelli David Friedman John W. Sweeny, Jr., Justices. -----X The People of the State of New York, Respondent, M-2329 DC #108 Ind. No. 4220/10 -against-Titus Halterman, also known as Titus Halteman, Defendant-Appellant. -----Х

An appeal having been taken to this Court by defendant from the judgment of the Supreme Court, New York County, rendered on or about August 18, 2011,

And said appeal not having been brought on for hearing pursuant to the provisions of the Rules of Practice of the Appellate Division, First Department,

And a calendar call having been held by the Clerk of the Court on May 15, 2014, pursuant to Rule 600.12(c) of said Rules of Practice, and counsel for appellant having submitted an affirmation seeking an enlargement of time in which to perfect the appeal,

Now, upon the Court's own motion, it is

Ordered that appellant's time in which to perfect the appeal is enlarged to the November 2014 Term of this Court and counsel is directed to so perfect.

Sumukp

-----X

An appeal having been taken to this Court by defendant from the judgment of the Supreme Court, New York County, rendered on or about July 21, 2008,

And said appeal not having been brought on for hearing pursuant to the provisions of the Rules of Practice of the Appellate Division, First Department,

And a calendar call having been held by the Clerk of the Court on May 15, 2014, pursuant to Rule 600.12(c) of said Rules of Practice, and counsel for appellant having submitted an affirmation seeking an enlargement of time in which to perfect the appeal,

Now, upon the Court's own motion, it is

Ordered that appellant's time in which to perfect the appeal is enlarged to the November 2014 Term of this Court and counsel is directed to so perfect.

Sumukj

PRESENT: Hon. Luis A. Gonzalez, Peter Tom Angela M. Mazzarelli David Friedman John W. Sweeny, Jr., The People of the State of New York, Respondent, -----X Brian Hawkins, Defendant-Appellant.

-----X

An appeal having been taken to this Court by defendant from the judgment of the Supreme Court, New York County, rendered on or about November 14, 2007,

And said appeal not having been brought on for hearing pursuant to the provisions of the Rules of Practice of the Appellate Division, First Department,

And a calendar call having been held by the Clerk of the Court on May 15, 2014, pursuant to Rule 600.12(c) of said Rules of Practice, and counsel for appellant having submitted an affirmation seeking an enlargement of time in which to perfect the appeal,

Now, upon the Court's own motion, it is

Ordered that appellant's time in which to perfect the appeal is enlarged to the November 2014 Term of this Court and counsel is directed to so perfect.

Sumukj

PRESENT: Hon. Luis A. Gonzalez, Presiding Justice, Peter Tom Angela M. Mazzarelli David Friedman John W. Sweeny, Jr., Justices. The People of the State of New York, Respondent, M-2347 DC #117 -against- Ind. No. 3068/11 David Hernandez, Defendant-Appellant.

An appeal having been taken to this Court by defendant from the judgment of the Supreme Court, New York County, rendered on or about February 16, 2012,

And said appeal not having been brought on for hearing pursuant to the provisions of the Rules of Practice of the Appellate Division, First Department,

And a calendar call having been held by the Clerk of the Court on May 15, 2014, pursuant to Rule 600.12(c) of said Rules of Practice, and counsel for appellant having submitted an affirmation seeking an enlargement of time in which to perfect the appeal,

Now, upon the Court's own motion, it is

Ordered that appellant's time in which to perfect the appeal is enlarged to the November 2014 Term of this Court and counsel is directed to so perfect.

SumuRp

PRESENT: Hon. Luis A. Gonzalez, Presiding Justice, Peter Tom Angela M. Mazzarelli David Friedman John W. Sweeny, Jr., Justices. The People of the State of New York, Respondent, M-2348 DC #118 -against- Ind. No. 5001/11 Wilfredo Hernandez, Defendant-Appellant.

An appeal having been taken to this Court by defendant from the judgment of the Supreme Court, New York County, rendered on or about January 19, 2012

And said appeal not having been brought on for hearing pursuant to the provisions of the Rules of Practice of the Appellate Division, First Department,

And a calendar call having been held by the Clerk of the Court on May 15, 2014, pursuant to Rule 600.12(c) of said Rules of Practice, and counsel for appellant having submitted an affirmation seeking an enlargement of time in which to perfect the appeal,

Now, upon the Court's own motion, it is

Ordered that appellant's time in which to perfect the appeal is enlarged to the November 2014 Term of this Court and counsel is directed to so perfect.

Sumukj

PRESENT: Hon. Luis A. Gonzalez, Presiding Justice, Peter Tom Angela M. Mazzarelli David Friedman John W. Sweeny, Jr., Justices. The People of the State of New York, Respondent, M-2351 DC #121 -against- Ind. No. 3117/98 Sylvester Hunt, Defendant-Appellant.

An appeal having been taken to this Court by defendant from the judgment of the Supreme Court, New York County, rendered on or about October 10, 2001,

And said appeal not having been brought on for hearing pursuant to the provisions of the Rules of Practice of the Appellate Division, First Department,

And a calendar call having been held by the Clerk of the Court on May 15, 2014, pursuant to Rule 600.12(c) of said Rules of Practice, and counsel for appellant having submitted an affirmation seeking an enlargement of time in which to perfect the appeal,

Now, upon the Court's own motion, it is

Ordered that appellant's time in which to perfect the appeal is enlarged to the November 2014 Term of this Court and counsel is directed to so perfect.

SumuRp

PRESENT: Hon. Luis A. Gonzalez, Presiding Justice, Peter Tom Angela M. Mazzarelli David Friedman John W. Sweeny, Jr., Justices. The People of the State of New York, Respondent, M-2356 DC #132 -against- Ind. No. 4712/10 Joseph Kastner, Defendant-Appellant.

An appeal having been taken to this Court by defendant from the judgment of the Supreme Court, New York County, rendered on or about November 18, 2011,

And said appeal not having been brought on for hearing pursuant to the provisions of the Rules of Practice of the Appellate Division, First Department,

And a calendar call having been held by the Clerk of the Court on May 15, 2014, pursuant to Rule 600.12(c) of said Rules of Practice, and counsel for appellant having submitted an affirmation seeking an enlargement of time in which to perfect the appeal,

Now, upon the Court's own motion, it is

Ordered that appellant's time in which to perfect the appeal is enlarged to the November 2014 Term of this Court and counsel is directed to so perfect.

Sumukj

PRESENT: Hon. Luis A. Gonzalez, Peter Tom Angela M. Mazzarelli David Friedman John W. Sweeny, Jr., The People of the State of New York, Respondent, -----X Marcus King, Defendant-Appellant.

-----X

An appeal having been taken to this Court by defendant from the judgment of the Supreme Court, Bronx County, rendered on or about January 11, 2012,

And said appeal not having been brought on for hearing pursuant to the provisions of the Rules of Practice of the Appellate Division, First Department,

And a calendar call having been held by the Clerk of the Court on May 15, 2014, pursuant to Rule 600.12(c) of said Rules of Practice, and counsel for appellant having submitted an affirmation seeking an enlargement of time in which to perfect the appeal,

Now, upon the Court's own motion, it is

Ordered that appellant's time in which to perfect the appeal is enlarged to the November 2014 Term of this Court and counsel is directed to so perfect.

Sumukj

PRESENT: Hon. Luis A. Gonzalez, Peter Tom Angela M. Mazzarelli David Friedman John W. Sweeny, Jr., The People of the State of New York, Respondent, -against-David LaRose, Defendant-Appellant.

-----X

An appeal having been taken to this Court by defendant from the judgment of the Supreme Court, New York County, rendered on or about September 28, 2011,

And said appeal not having been brought on for hearing pursuant to the provisions of the Rules of Practice of the Appellate Division, First Department,

And a calendar call having been held by the Clerk of the Court on May 15, 2014, pursuant to Rule 600.12(c) of said Rules of Practice, and counsel for appellant having submitted an affirmation seeking an enlargement of time in which to perfect the appeal,

Now, upon the Court's own motion, it is

Ordered that appellant's time in which to perfect the appeal is enlarged to the November 2014 Term of this Court and counsel is directed to so perfect.

SumuRp

PRESENT: Hon. Luis A. Gonzalez, Peter Tom Angela M. Mazzarelli David Friedman John W. Sweeny, Jr., The People of the State of New York, Respondent, -----X Anibal Joaquin, Defendant-Appellant.

-----Х

An appeal having been taken to this Court by defendant from the judgment of the Supreme Court, Bronx County, rendered on or about May 23, 2011,

And said appeal not having been brought on for hearing pursuant to the provisions of the Rules of Practice of the Appellate Division, First Department,

And a calendar call having been held by the Clerk of the Court on May 15, 2014, pursuant to Rule 600.12(c) of said Rules of Practice, and counsel for appellant having submitted an affirmation seeking an enlargement of time in which to perfect the appeal,

Now, upon the Court's own motion, it is

Ordered that appellant's time in which to perfect the appeal is enlarged to the November 2014 Term of this Court and counsel is directed to so perfect.

Sumukj

PRESENT: Hon. Luis A. Gonzalez, Presiding Justice, Peter Tom Angela M. Mazzarelli David Friedman John W. Sweeny, Jr., Justices. The People of the State of New York, Respondent, M-2369 DC #139 -against- Ind. No. 5436/09 Jacques Levasseur, Defendant-Appellant.

An appeal having been taken to this Court by defendant from the judgment of the Supreme Court, New York County, rendered on or about December 9, 2010,

And said appeal not having been brought on for hearing pursuant to the provisions of the Rules of Practice of the Appellate Division, First Department,

And a calendar call having been held by the Clerk of the Court on May 15, 2014, pursuant to Rule 600.12(c) of said Rules of Practice, and counsel for appellant having submitted an affirmation seeking an enlargement of time in which to perfect the appeal,

Now, upon the Court's own motion, it is

Ordered that appellant's time in which to perfect the appeal is enlarged to the November 2014 Term of this Court and counsel is directed to so perfect.

Sumukj

PRESENT: Hon. Luis A. Gonzalez, Peter Tom Angela M. Mazzarelli David Friedman John W. Sweeny, Jr., The People of the State of New York, Respondent, -----X Chao Zhang Lin, Defendant-Appellant.

-----X

An appeal having been taken to this Court by defendant from the judgment of the Supreme Court, New York County, rendered on or about May 5, 2011,

And said appeal not having been brought on for hearing pursuant to the provisions of the Rules of Practice of the Appellate Division, First Department,

And a calendar call having been held by the Clerk of the Court on May 15, 2014, pursuant to Rule 600.12(c) of said Rules of Practice, and counsel for appellant having submitted an affirmation seeking an enlargement of time in which to perfect the appeal,

Now, upon the Court's own motion, it is

Ordered that appellant's time in which to perfect the appeal is enlarged to the November 2014 Term of this Court and counsel is directed to so perfect.

Sumukj

PRESENT: Hon. Luis A. Gonzalez, Peter Tom Angela M. Mazzarelli David Friedman John W. Sweeny, Jr., The People of the State of New York, Respondent, -----X The Justices. M-2372 DC #142 Ind. No. 4193/11 Nigel Livingston, Defendant-Appellant.

-----X

An appeal having been taken to this Court by defendant from the judgment of the Supreme Court, New York County, rendered on or about April 4, 2012,

And said appeal not having been brought on for hearing pursuant to the provisions of the Rules of Practice of the Appellate Division, First Department,

And a calendar call having been held by the Clerk of the Court on May 15, 2014, pursuant to Rule 600.12(c) of said Rules of Practice, and counsel for appellant having submitted an affirmation seeking an enlargement of time in which to perfect the appeal,

Now, upon the Court's own motion, it is

Ordered that appellant's time in which to perfect the appeal is enlarged to the November 2014 Term of this Court and counsel is directed to so perfect.

SumuRp

PRESENT: Hon. Luis A. Gonzalez, Peter Tom Angela M. Mazzarelli David Friedman John W. Sweeny, Jr., The People of the State of New York, Respondent, -----X Michael Lopez, Defendant-Appellant.

-----X

An appeal having been taken to this Court by defendant from the judgment of the Supreme Court, New York County, rendered on or about February 28, 2012,

And said appeal not having been brought on for hearing pursuant to the provisions of the Rules of Practice of the Appellate Division, First Department,

And a calendar call having been held by the Clerk of the Court on May 15, 2014, pursuant to Rule 600.12(c) of said Rules of Practice, and counsel for appellant having submitted an affirmation seeking an enlargement of time in which to perfect the appeal,

Now, upon the Court's own motion, it is

Ordered that appellant's time in which to perfect the appeal is enlarged to the November 2014 Term of this Court and counsel is directed to so perfect.

SumuRp

At a Term of the Appellate Division of the Supreme Court held in and for the First Judicial Department in the County of New York on June 12, 2014. PRESENT - Hon. Peter Tom, Justice Presiding, David Friedman Dianne T. Renwick Judith J. Gische Darcel D. Clark, Justices. ----X James W. Holme, Plaintiff-Respondent, -against-M-2037 Index No. 600232/08 Global Minerals and Metals Corp., GMMC Enterprise Corp., GMMC, Inc., Global Minerals and Metals Corp. (London), GMMC, LLC, R. David Campbell, B.H. Shah, "John Does" 1 through 20 and John Doe Entities" 1 through 20,

Defendants-Appellants.

Plaintiff-respondent having moved to dismiss the appeals taken from the orders of the Supreme Court, New York County, entered on or about April 5, 2013, August 21, 2013, November 26, 2013, and from the judgment of said Court entered on or about March 5, 2014, respectively,

Now, upon reading and filing the papers with respect to the motion, and due deliberation having been had thereon,

It is ordered that the motion is granted to the extent of dismissing the appeals, which are sua sponte, consolidated, unless perfected for the October 2014 Term. The respective defendants-appellants are directed to perfect the appeals upon 9 copies of one record and one set of appellant's points covering their respective consolidated appeals.

Sumukp

At a Term of the Appellate Division of the Supreme Court held in and for the First Judicial Department in the County of New York on June 12, 2014. PRESENT: Hon. Peter Tom, Justice Presiding, David Friedman Rolando T. Acosta Richard T. Andrias Rosalyn H. Richter, Justices. -----X In the Matter of the Application of Peter Falotico, for the Appointment of a Special Guardian Person Pursuant to Article 81 M-1902 Index No. 500099/13 of the Mental Hygiene Law for Michael F., An Incapacitated Person. -----X

An appeal having been taken from an order and judgment (one paper) of the Supreme Court, New York County, entered on or about November 25, 2013,

And an order of this Court having been entered on May 13, 2014 (M-320) directing that any funds gifted pursuant to the aforesaid order and judgment be place in the escrow account of the guardian's attorney Gyimesi & Wedinger, P.C., on condition the appeal is perfected for the September 2014 Term,

And petitioner having moved to modify the aforesaid order of this Court entered on May 13, 2014 (M-320) to reflect that said order only pertain to so called further gifting by the court appointed guardian as of a certain date and to direct that any remaining funds be place in escrow with the court appointed attorney at Littman Krooks LLP,

Now, upon reading and filing the papers with respect to the motion, and due deliberation having been had thereon,

It is ordered that the motion is granted to the extent of clarifying that said stay was prospective as of the date of the initial interim order of a Justice of this Court dated January 21, 2014 and directing that any remaining funds be place in the escrow account of the court appointed guardian's attorney Littman Krooks LLP, 399 Knollwood Road, White Plains, NY 10603.

Sumuk

At a Term of the Appellate Division of the Supreme Court held in and for the First Judicial Department in the County of New York on June 12, 2014. PRESENT: Hon. Peter Tom , Justice Presiding, David Friedman Dianne T. Renwick Judith J. Gische Justices. Darcel D. Clark, -----X In the Matter of the Application of Inwood Terrace, Inc., Petitioner-Respondent, For a Judgment Pursuant to Article 78 of the Civil Practice Law and Rules, M-2060 Index No. 158495/12 -against-New York State Division of Human Rights, et al.,

An Article 78 proceeding having been transferred to this Court, pursuant to CPLR 7804(q), by order of the Supreme Court, New York County, entered on or about August 8, 2013, to review a determination of respondents,

Respondents-Petitioners. -----X

And respondent-petitioner New York State Division of Human Rights having moved for an enlargement of time to perfect the aforesaid proceeding,

Now, upon reading and filing the papers with respect to said motion, and due deliberation having been had thereon,

It is ordered that the motion is granted to the extent of enlarging the time to perfect the proceeding to the November 2014 Term.

Sumukj

Present: Hon. Peter Tom, Justice Presiding, Rolando T. Acosta David B. Saxe Leland G. DeGrasse Helen E. Freedman, Justices.

-----X Carlos Marino,

Petitioner-Appellant,

For a Judgment Pursuant to Article 78 M-825 of the Civil Practice Law and Rules, Index No. 400932/12 Ind. No. 8986/86

-against-

Records Access Officer, Special Narcotics Court, Respondent-Respondent. -----X

Petitioner-appellant pro se having moved for leave to prosecute, as a poor person, the appeal from an order of the Supreme Court, New York County, entered on or about August 6, 2012, for leave to have the appeal heard on the original record and upon a reproduced appellant's brief, for the assignment of an investigator, and for related relief,

Now, upon reading and filing the papers with respect to the motion, and due deliberation having been had thereon,

It is ordered that the motion is denied.

Sumukp

PRESENT: Hon. Peter Tom, Justice Presiding, Karla Moskowitz Leland DeGrasse Rosalyn H. Richter Barbara R. Kapnick, Justices.

-----Х

Maria Otto, et al., Plaintiffs-Respondents,

-against-

M-1735 Index No. 108886/10

Jonathan Otto, et al., Defendants-Appellants.

Defendants-appellants having moved for a stay of all proceedings pending hearing and determination of the appeal taken from the order of the Supreme Court, New York County, entered on or about March 11, 2014 (mot. seq. no. 004),

Now, upon reading and filing the papers with respect to the motion, and due deliberation having been had thereon,

It is ordered that the motion is denied.

Sumukj

CLERK

At a Term of the Appellate Division of the Supreme Court held in and for the First Judicial Department in the County of New York on June 12, 2014. Present: Hon. Angela M. Mazzarelli, Justice Presiding, Rolando T. Acosta Diane T. Renwick Helen E. Freedman Sallie Manzanet-Daniels, Justices. -----X The People of the State of New York, Eric T. Schneiderman, etc., et al., Plaintiffs-Respondents, M-1653 Index No. 103917/11 -against-Sprint Nextel Corp., et al., Defendants-Appellants. _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ Broadband Tax Institute and Council

Defendants-appellants having moved for leave to appeal to the Court of Appeals from the decision and order of this Court entered on February 27, 2014 (Appeal No. 11848),

of State Taxation,

Amici Curiae.

-----X

Now, upon reading and filing the papers with respect to the motion, and due deliberation having been had thereon,

It is ordered that the motion is granted, and this Court, pursuant to CPLR 5713, certifies that the following question of law, decisive of the correctness of its determination, has arisen, which in its opinion ought to be reviewed by the Court of Appeals:

> "Was the order of the Supreme Court, as affirmed by the this Court, properly made?"

This Court further certifies that its determination was made as a matter of law and not in the exercise of discretion. (See M-1692, decided simultaneously herewith.)

Sumurg

At a Term of the Appellate Division of the Supreme Court held in and for the First Judicial Department in the County of New York on June 12, 2014. Present: Hon. Angela M. Mazzarelli, Justice Presiding, Rolando T. Acosta Diane T. Renwick Helen E. Freedman Sallie Manzanet-Daniels, Justices. -----X The People of the State of New York, Eric T. Schneiderman, etc., et al., Plaintiffs-Respondents, M-1692 Index No. 103917/11 -against-Sprint Nextel Corp., et al., Defendants-Appellants. _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ Broadband Tax Institute and Council

of State Taxation, Amici Curiae. -----X

Defendants-appellants having moved for leave to appeal to the Court of Appeals from the decision and order of this Court entered on February 27, 2014 (Appeal No. 11848),

And Broadband Tax Institute and Council of State Taxation, having moved for leave to file a brief amicus curiae in support of defendants-appellants' motion for leave to appeal to the Court of Appeals (M-1653),

Now, upon reading and filing the papers with respect to the motion, and due deliberation having been had thereon,

It is ordered that the motion is granted to the extent the brief as amicus curiae submitted with the motion is deemed filed. (See M-1653, decided simultaneously herewith.)

SumuRj

At a Term of the Appellate Division of the Supreme Court held in and for the First Judicial Department in the County of New York on June 12, 2013. Present: Hon. Angela M. Mazzarelli, Justice Presiding, Richard T. Andrias John W. Sweeny, Jr. David B. Saxe Justices. Karla Moskowitz, -----X Kathryn Jordan, Plaintiff, M-4723 -against-Index No. 103326/12 Townhouse Company, LLC, et al., Defendants. -----X

An order of this Court having been entered on May 28, 2013 (M-1164/M-1859) dismissing the appeal taken by plaintiff from the order of the Supreme Court, New York County, entered on or about September 28, 2012,

And an order of this Court having been entered on July 23, 2013 (M-3126/M-3127), denying plaintiff's motions for reargument of the aforesaid order of this Court and for an enlargement of time to perfect the aforesaid dismissed appeal; and directing the Clerk of this Court to accept no further filings of any kind from plaintiff Kathryn Jordan unless said plaintiff obtains prior leave from this Court before any such filings,

And plaintiff pro se having moved for reargument of the prior order of this Court entered on July 23, 2013 (M-3126/M-3127),

Now, upon reading and filing the papers with respect to the motion, and due deliberation having been had thereon, it is

Ordered that the motion is dismissed as unauthorized. Plaintiff's attention is directed to the order of this Court entered on July 23, 2013 (M-3126/M-3127). [See M-4724, decided simultaneously herewith.]

CLEPT

Present: Hon. Angela M. Mazzarelli, Justice Presiding, Richard T. Andrias John W. Sweeny, Jr. David B. Saxe Karla Moskowitz, Justices.

-----X

Kathryn Jordan, Plaintiff,

M-4724

-against- Index No. 104395/12

Paul and Simone Ender, et al., Defendants.

Plaintiff pro se having moved for leave to appeal to this Court from an order of the Supreme Court, New York County, entered on or about May 23, 2013, or for relief pursuant to CPLR 5520(a),

Now, upon reading and filing the papers with respect to the motion, and due deliberation having been had thereon, it is

Ordered that the motion is denied. The Clerk of this Court is directed to accept no further filings of any kind from plaintiff Kathyrn Jordan unless plaintiff obtains prior leave from this Court before any such filings. Plaintiff's attention is directed to the order of this Court entered on July 23, 2013 (M-3126/M-3127). [See M-4723, decided simultaneously herewith.]

SumuRp

PRESENT: Hon. David Friedman, Justice Presiding, Rolando T. Acosta David B. Saxe Helen E. Freedman Judith J. Gische, Justices.

-----X

Joseph Delfino and Toni Delfino, Plaintiffs-Appellants,

-against-

M-1106 Index No. 118102/09

The City of New York, et al., Defendants,

A'Agostino Supermarkets, Inc., Defendant-Respondent.

Defendant-respondent having moved for dismissal of the appeal taken from an order of the Supreme Court, New York County, entered on or about December 6, 2013 (mot. seq. no. 005),

Now, upon reading and filing the papers with respect to the motion including the correspondence from Torino & Bernstein, P.C. (Vincent J. Battista, Esq., of counsel) dated May 14, 2014, and due deliberation having been had thereon,

It is ordered that the aforesaid motion is deemed withdrawn in accordance with the aforesaid correspondence.

CLEDU

At a Term of the Appellate Division of the Supreme Court held in and for the First Judicial Department in the County of New York on June 12, 2014. Present - Hon. Peter Tom, Justice Presiding, Dianne T. Renwick Rosalvn H. Richter Paul G. Feinman Judith J. Gische, Justices. -----x Solomon Holding Corp., et al., Petitioners-Respondents, M-999 -against-Index No. 103120/12 Humphrey Stephenson and Elaine

Creta Davis-Stephenson, Respondents-Appellants.

An appeal having been taken to this Court from the order of the Supreme Court, New York County, entered on or about August 8, 2013, and said appeal having been perfected,

And an order of this Court having been entered on December 10, 2013 (M-4496), inter alia, granting respondent-appellant Elaine Creta Davis-Stephenson a stay of any order directing the sale of a certain property on certain conditions, as indicated,

And said respondent-appellant having moved for a continuation of the aforesaid stay pending hearing and determination of the aforesaid appeal,

Now, upon reading and filing the papers with respect to the motion, and due deliberation having been had thereon,

It is ordered that the motion is granted, and the stay granted by the order of this Court entered December 10, 2013 (M-4496) is reinstated and continued pending hearing and determination of the appeal.

Sumukj

P.M ORDERS FOR JUNE 12, 2014

Present: Hon. David Friedman, Justice Presiding, Rolando T. Acosta David B. Saxe Paul G. Feinman Judith J. Gische, Justices.

-----X

Neighborhood in the Nineties, Inc., Plaintiff-Appellant,

For a Judgment Pursuant to Article 78 of the Civil Practice Law and Rules,

M-2028

Index No. 156382/13

The City of New York, etc., et al., Defendants-Respondents.

-against-

An appeal having been taken from an order of the Supreme Court, New York County, entered on or about March 28, 2014,

And plaintiff-appellant having moved for a stay of the aforesaid order pending hearing and determination of the appeal,

Now, upon reading and filing the papers with respect to the motion, and due deliberation having been had thereon, it is

Ordered that the motion is denied.

SumuRp

ORDER CORRECTED OCTOBER 17, 2014

At a Term of the Appellate Division of the Supreme Court held in and for the First Judicial Department in the County of New York on June 12, 2014.

Present: Hon. Peter Tom, Richard T. Andrias Helen E. Freedman Darcel D. Clark,

Justice Presiding,

Justices.

-----X The People of the State of New York, Respondent,

P 100

M-2132

-against-

Ind. No. 900/10

Timothy Johnson, Defendant-Appellant. -----x

An appeal having been taken from the judgment of the Supreme Court, Bronx County, rendered on or about August 2, 2013,

And retained counsel, Alain V. Massena, Esq., having moved on defendant's behalf for leave to prosecute the appeal as a poor person, for leave to have the appeal heard on the original record and upon a reproduced appellant's brief, for an enlargement of time to perfect said appeal, and for related relief,

Now, upon reading and filing the papers with respect to the motion, and due deliberation having been had thereon,

It is ordered that the motion is granted to the extent of permitting the appeal to be heard on the original record, except that a certified copy of the indictment(s) shall be substituted in place of the original indictment(s), and upon a reproduced appellant's brief, on condition that appellant serves one copy of such brief upon the District Attorney of said county and files copies of such brief, together with the original record, pursuant to Rule 600.11 of the Rules of this Court.

(M-2132)

1.00

The court reporter shall promptly make and file with the criminal court (CPL §460.70) two transcripts of the stenographic minutes of any proceedings pursuant to CPL §210.20, Arts. 710 and 730, and of the plea or trial and sentence. The Clerk shall furnish a copy of such transcripts to appellant's counsel, without charge, the transcripts to be returned to this Court when appellant's brief is filed.

-2-

Defendant-appellant's time to perfect the appeal is enlarged to the November 2014 Term.

Sumukj

At a Term of the Appellate Division of the Supreme Court held in and for the First Judicial Department in the County of New York on June 12, 2014. Present: Hon. Rolando T. Acosta, Justice Presiding, Leland G. DeGrasse Rosalyn H. Richter Sallie Manzanet-Daniels Paul G. Feinman, Justices. -----X CMS Life Insurance Opportunity Fund, L.P. and CNF II Partners, Plaintiffs-Appellants, M-2179 Genesis Merchant Partners, L.P., Index No. 653646/11 et al., Plaintiffs, -against-Progressive Capital Solutions, LLC,

et al., Defendants-Respondents.

An appeal having been taken to this Court from an order of the Supreme Court, New York County, entered on or about March 13, 2014,

And plaintiffs-appellants having moved for a stay of all trial proceedings pending hearing and determination of the aforesaid appeal,

Now, upon reading and filing the papers with respect to the motion, and due deliberation having been had thereon,

It is ordered that the motion is granted on condition that the appeal perfected on or before August 4, 2014 for the October 2014 Term.

Summe R.

Present - Hon. Peter Tom, Justice Presiding, Karla Moskowitz Leland G. DeGrasse Sallie Manzanet-Daniels Darcel D. Clark, Justices.

-----x

Gawker Media, LLC,

Petitioner-Respondent,

-against-

M-2634 Index No. 151324/14

EJ Media Group, LLC, et al.,

Respondents-Appellants.

An appeal having been taken to this Court from the order of the Supreme Court, New York County, entered on or about May 6, 2014,

And respondents-appellants having moved for a stay of all proceedings, including discovery, pending hearing and determination of the appeal taken therefrom,

Now, upon reading and filing the papers with respect to the motion, and due deliberation having been had thereon,

It is ordered that the motion is denied, and the interim relief granted by the order of a Justice of this Court dated May 16, 2014, is vacated.

Sumukj