1	COURT OF APPEALS
2	STATE OF NEW YORK
3	
4	MATTER OF COUNTY OF ERIE,
5	Respondent,
6	-against-
7	No. 178 CIVIL SERVICE EMPLOYEES ASSOCIATION, LOCAL 815,
8	
9	Appellant .
10	20 Eagle Street
11	Albany, New York 12207 September 12, 2012
12	Before:
13	CHIEF JUDGE JONATHAN LIPPMAN ASSOCIATE JUDGE CARMEN BEAUCHAMP CIPARICK ASSOCIATE JUDGE VICTORIA A. GRAFFEO
14	ASSOCIATE JUDGE SUSAN PHILLIPS READ
15	ASSOCIATE JUDGE ROBERT S. SMITH ASSOCIATE JUDGE EUGENE F. PIGOTT, JR. ASSOCIATE JUDGE THEODORE T. JONES
16	
17	Appearances: DIANE M. PERRI ROBERTS, ESQ.
18	LIPSITZ GREEN SCIME CAMBRIA LLP Attorneys for Appellant
19	42 Delaware Avenue Suite 120
	Buffalo, NY 14202
20	R. SCOTT DELUCA, ESQ.
21	SCHRADER, ISRAELY & DELUCA LLP
22	Attorneys for Respondent 2635 Millerpost Highway
	Suite 200
23	Getzville, NY 14068
24	
25	Jessica B. Cahill Official Court Transcriber

1	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Matter of County of
2	Erie. Counselor?
3	MS. PERRI ROBERTS: I'd like to reserve two
4	minutes for rebuttal.
5	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: You have it. Go
6	ahead.
7	MS. PERRI ROBERTS: Thank you. Diane Perri
8	Roberts appearing for the respondent/appellant CSEA.
9	May it please the court, the issue in this case
10	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Who bargained this
11	agreement, counsel?
12	MS. PERRI ROBERTS: Who bargained it?
13	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Yeah, where the
14	election clerks are in the collective bargaining
15	agreement.
16	MS. PERRI ROBERTS: This goes back to 1971,
17	and
18	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Right, but who
19	bargained? Who makes the agreement?
20	MS. PERRI ROBERTS: The agreement is
21	bargained for by a representative of the County of
22	Erie and by representatives of CSEA.
23	JUDGE READ: By the way is the arbitration
24	provision of the collective bargaining agreement
25	somewhere in the record?

1	MS. PERRI ROBERTS: The arbitration
2	provision? I'm not sure that that is, actually.
3	JUDGE READ: Okay. I couldn't find it. I
4	just wanted to ask, and I thought maybe you could
5	tell me.
6	MS. PERRI ROBERTS: No, I now that
7	you bring it up, I don't think the actual provision
8	is in there.
9	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: So is the county in
10	touch with the board in terms of the fact that these
11	election clerks are in the contract?
12	MS. PERRI ROBERTS: The election clerks
13	have been, since the very first contract
14	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: I know, but was the
15	board, do you think, aware of it this whole time?
16	MS. PERRI ROBERTS: I would have to say
17	that over the course of forty years I would be
18	surprised if they weren't aware that they were
19	JUDGE GRAFFEO: Are other board employees
20	also in the contract?
21	MS. PERRI ROBERTS: There are other titles.
22	I'm not sure if those positions are filled at this
23	time, such as voting machine technicians.
24	Specifically here, we were talking about election

clerks. Those are the individuals that go out on

election day and make sure everybody signs the books and - - -

those people, and particularly - - - I forget what '09 was like, but lately when we've had members of Congress who, for one reason or another, have had to shorten their career, and we've had a special election in Congress, or we have a school board in March, and then a primary in September, and maybe another primary some other time, and then - - - shouldn't the board, as they're arguing, say these people don't fit within these, and we should have the right to set their hours and duties? Isn't that essentially what they're saying, and it's not a bargainable thing?

MS. PERRI ROBERTS: I would agree that

Election Law 3-300 does provide, as one of the

enumerated powers of the Board of Election

commissioners to establish the duties of the Board of

Elections clerks that do work.

JUDGE SMITH: And also their salaries.

MS. PERRI ROBERTS: Pardon me?

JUDGE SMITH: And their salaries, right?

MS. PERRI ROBERTS: And their salaries, and the right to - - -  $\!\!\!\!$ 

2.4

2.4

JUDGE SMITH: Well, why does that not include the right to try to limit their overtime?

MS. PERRI ROBERTS: Because that is not part of the salary. That's something over and above. That's more of a benefit. The provision - - - the overtime provision is tied in with the contractual obligation to not change their hours of work so as to avoid - - -

JUDGE SMITH: Isn't the general idea - - 
I mean, the statute basically gives rather broad

power to the Board of Elections over its employees,

and isn't the idea to maintain the independence of

the board employees from political influence? Isn't

that the obvious point of the statute?

MS. PERRI ROBERTS: That is and that's why the power to hire and fire - - -

JUDGE SMITH: If you win this case and the county acquire - - - the county and the union representing county employees, generally, acquire the power to make deals about this subject matter, about what kind of overtime restrictions there are on the Board of Election employees, aren't you giving leverage to the county, maybe to the union, also certainly to the county over the board's employees?

MS. PERRI ROBERTS: No, I don't think so,

1 Your Honor, because we also have the provision about 2 the representation. There has to be an equal number 3 of employees of either of the political parties that 4 are appointed. So to that end - - -5 JUDGE SMITH: Yeah, but aren't you worried - - - the fear behind the statute is you have an 6 7 election clerk sitting there saying I think I better 8 do what the county executive wants. Maybe I'm a 9 republican, and he's a democrat, but I don't want him 10 mad at me, because he's going to be negotiating 11 tomorrow over my benefits. Isn't that - - - isn't there a risk there? 12 13 MS. PERRI ROBERTS: I don't think it's a 14 risk, because we're talking overtime. Here we're 15 talking about a provision that only states that in 16 the event the hours of work are changed to avoid 17 casual overtime that the county - - - that the 18 individual employees are paid overtime. 19 JUDGE SMITH: Well, a lot of people care a 2.0 lot about their overtime. 21 MS. PERRI ROBERTS: Pardon me? 22 JUDGE SMITH: A lot of people care a lot

MS. PERRI ROBERTS: But they can't have the overtime unless it's assigned to them. They can't

about their overtime.

23

2.4

1 just decide on their own to work the overtime. It's 2 going to be an assigned change in their schedule. 3 That's what we're talking about with casual overtime. 4 JUDGE READ: Are you arguing this is a 5 question for the arbitrator? 6 MS. PERRI ROBERTS: I am arguing that's a 7 question for the arbitrator and that this should not 8 have been stayed. This should have been before the 9 arbitrator whether or not the - - - the work 10 situation was such that the hours of work were 11 changed so as to avoid casual overtime and these are the decisions - - -12 13 JUDGE SMITH: The Board of Elections never 14 agreed to commit this to the arbitrator, did it? 15 MS. PERRI ROBERTS: The Board of Elections 16 wasn't a party to this motion. 17 JUDGE SMITH: Right, but this is - - - if 18 you treat the Board of Elections as having a separate 19 interest from the county, then how can they be bound 2.0 - - - how can their interests be subject to an 21 arbitration they never agreed to? 22 MS. PERRI ROBERTS: The provision here is 23 on overtime and the county has obligated itself to 2.4 pay in the event the Board of Elections commissioners

decide that they need to change their individual

1	employees' schedules.
2	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Are the Board of
3	Elections employees county employees?
4	MS. PERRI ROBERTS: Yes, they are. Yes,
5	they are. The Board of Elections is given office
6	space by the county; the money to pay everybody at
7	the Board of Elections comes from the county.
8	Everything is appropriated by the county legislature.
9	There's no independent funding source for the Board
10	of Elections.
11	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: So the
12	MS. PERRI ROBERTS: I daresay the county
13	attorney represents the Board of Elections.
14	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: The pencils and pens
15	that they use at the Board of Elections come from the
16	county?
17	MS. PERRI ROBERTS: I don't think there's
18	anything in the record. I would have to guess at
19	that.
20	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: I'm just asking you,
21	common sense.
22	MS. PERRI ROBERTS: I would have to guess
23	at that.
24	JUDGE GRAFFEO: Who hires the employees at
25	the board?

1	MS. PERRI ROBERTS: I'm sorry, who hires?
2	JUDGE GRAFFEO: Who hires them? Who does
3	the interviewing and hires them? Do the board
4	commissioners do that?
5	MS. PERRI ROBERTS: That is a specifically
6	enumerated right under Election Law 3-300. We don't
7	dispute that, that they get to hire and they get to
8	relieve their employees of duty.
9	JUDGE READ: Could they negotiate that
10	away?
11	MS. PERRI ROBERTS: Did the county
12	negotiate that away?
13	JUDGE READ: Could you?
14	MS. PERRI ROBERTS: Do we?
15	JUDGE READ: Could the county?
16	MS. PERRI ROBERTS: I think that is
17	something that CSEA has recognized is a specifically
18	enumerated right with the Board of Election
19	commissioners under Election Law 3-300.
20	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: So you stay clear of
21	the Board of Elections except in something some
22	specific provision that has nothing to do with
23	where do you draw the line? There's nothing to do
24	with hiring, firing
25	MS. PERRI ROBERTS: Right.

CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: - - - specs, what 1 2 else, job specs, what else? 3 MS. PERRI ROBERTS: Setting - - -CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: What is their domain? 4 5 MS. PERRI ROBERTS: It's the five specific things that are in Election Law 3-300 of which you've 6 7 enumerated most of them, other than setting the salaries within the amount the county legislature 8 9 appropriates and with making sure that there's equal 10 representation between the political parties in terms of who's hired in to work. 11 12 CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Right, I see. 13 JUDGE SMITH: It says salaries. What about bonuses? Who decides the bonuses for these 14 15 employees? 16 MS. PERRI ROBERTS: I don't think there's 17 anything in the record. I'm not aware of any 18 bonuses. JUDGE SMITH: Well, what is your view of 19 2.0 the law? If there's a question of whether an 21 employee - - - if the Board of Elections wants to pay 22 a bonus or doesn't want to pay a bonus, the county 23 thinks otherwise. Whose decision is that? 2.4 MS. PERRI ROBERTS: If there's a bonus to

be paid I guess in part it might depend on whether or

1 not it's for merit, but that would be something that 2 I believe would probably be the Board of Elections 3 commissioners. 4 JUDGE SMITH: Yeah, but it doesn't say 5 bonus in there. What about health - - - what about benefits? What about health care benefits? 6 7 MS. PERRI ROBERTS: That's a big thing. 8 That's part of the reason that I'm here today is 9 because the Fourth Department and the Supreme Court's 10 decision basically removes any contractual benefits 11 that the county has agreed to provide to these Board 12 of Elections employees including sick leave, vacation 13 time, health care. 14 JUDGE SMITH: So you say sick leave, 15 vacation time, health care, all those things can be 16 bargained, but between the county and the union? 17 MS. PERRI ROBERTS: Yes, the county has 18 agreed to bargain for those. 19 JUDGE SMITH: So again, I come back - - -20 doesn't - - - aren't you giving the county a lot of 21 leverage over Board of Election employees? 22 MS. PERRI ROBERTS: In terms of providing 23 benefits and contractual - - -2.4 JUDGE SMITH: In terms of being able to 25 help or harm those employees in a way that might make

1 the employees politically responsive to them. 2 MS. PERRI ROBERTS: They have. This is - -3 - historically, these employees have been part of the 4 certified bargaining unit under the Taylor Law under 5 PERB. They've - - -6 JUDGE PIGOTT: They're covered in, right? 7 You negotiate this contract, and then they're covered in. Is that - - -8 9 MS. PERRI ROBERTS: They are all brought in 10 and the county has never done anything to remove 11 them. 12 JUDGE GRAFFEO: Who assigns their work 13 duties, the commissioners? MS. PERRI ROBERTS: The commissioners do 14 15 and that's again one of their specifically enumerated 16 17 JUDGE GRAFFEO: I see distinction between 18 employment benefits like health, and retirement, and 19 dental, and eye care, because it doesn't have to do 20 with their actual day-to-day work duties. But when 21 it comes to the autonomy of the board to decide what 22 actual job duties they're going to assign to the 23 election clerks, it seems to me that if the county -2.4 - - if the agreement impinges on that ability because

there's some contractual limitation of overtime, that

that affects the autonomy of the board.

2.4

MS. PERRI ROBERTS: Well, it's not - - it's not a limitation on what the board can do. The
board can do whatever they need to do. In fact, it
gives them more flexibility. If they need to
reschedule people, they can do that and the county is
the one that has to pay - - - under the contract, has
to pay for that overtime. We're not looking to the
Board of Elections commissioners to fund any of this.

CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Okay, Judge Pigott.

JUDGE PIGOTT: Aren't you saying - - - let me just ask, I know where you're from, and you see all of the criticisms of, like, the police saying, you know, there's too much overtime, at the jail there's too much overtime, you've got to cut down on overtime, you know, the budget can't take it. Aren't the commissioners here just trying to cut down on overtime?

MS. PERRI ROBERTS: The commissioners have a set budget to draw the salaries for their employees from.

JUDGE PIGOTT: I understand that, but aren't they - - isn't that what they're trying to do is cut down on overtime and aren't you saying they have no right to do that?

1 MS. PERRI ROBERTS: No, I don't think 2 that's what they're trying to do. 3 CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Who does the 4 overtime? What budget does the overtime come out of, 5 the counties or the boards? 6 MS. PERRI ROBERTS: Our position is that 7 the county is the one that has obligated - - -CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Exclusive of whatever 8 9 budget the Board of Elections has? 10 MS. PERRI ROBERTS: Exclusive of the salary 11 budget that they set. JUDGE PIGOTT: No, no, no. I mean the - -12 13 - well, the Board of Elections gets - - - is part of 14 the county's budget. They go in and they say I need 15 - - - you know, we need a million dollars to run the 16 Board of Elections this year and that's their money. 17 Now, they got to run the Board of Elections on a million dollars. If there's overtime the county 18 19 executive doesn't go to the treasurer and say give 20 the board more money. I mean, they have to at some 21 point, but you're talking about the Board of 22 Elections budget. MS. PERRI ROBERTS: Well, I would -- my 23 2.4 position would be that in the event that this case

went to arbitration and an arbitrator ruled that the

overtime was owed to these workers, what the Board of Election commissioners go back and argue with the county executive as to where - - -

2.4

JUDGE PIGOTT: And the county legislature.

MS. PERRI ROBERTS: - - - they get that money from is an issue between the two of them. And that - - -

CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Well, it wouldn't necessarily - - - it would or wouldn't necessarily come out of the million dollars, you don't know, the budget that Judge Pigott mentioned.

MS. PERRI ROBERTS: I would argue that it doesn't, because - - -

budget. They got to live within it. If they - - - if they go over their budget, they have to go to the county legislature and say we went over budget, and we need some more money, and the county legislature says we're not giving it to you, you got to take it out of next year's budget. It's not like they're a division of - - they're not like the - - I'm trying to think of a good one - - DEP where, you know, they're part of the county executive's budget. They have a separate budget. And those commissioners go there every year and complain about how they don't

1 get enough, but it's theirs. 2 MS. PERRI ROBERTS: I agree they have their 3 own budget - - -4 JUDGE PIGOTT: Okay. 5 MS. PERRI ROBERTS: - - - but in this 6 particular case if the election clerks who are part 7 of the bargaining unit have their hours changed to avoid casual overtime, then it's the county that has 8 9 the obligation to make sure that those election 10 clerks are paid. 11 CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Yeah, but his only -- - the judge's point, I think, is that the county 12 13 could take it out of the hide of the Board of Elections budget if they wanted to. 14 15 JUDGE GRAFFEO: In the next budget. 16 MS. PERRI ROBERTS: I suppose that's within 17 their prerogatory. 18 CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Okay. Let's hear from 19 your adversary. Thanks, counselor. 2.0 MR. DELUCA: Good afternoon, Your Honors, 21 may it please the court. My name is Scott DeLuca 22 from Shchrader, Israely & DeLuca, on behalf of the 23 County. 2.4 CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Are these - - - these 25 people are county employees, right?

_	MR. DELUCA: They're not.
2	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: They're not county
3	employees?
4	MR. DELUCA: They are not county employees
5	Judge. We admit that they are paid out of the
6	county's funds, but courts across the state have said
7	that is merely a ministerial duty.
8	JUDGE PIGOTT: They're hired and fired by
9	the commissioners?
LO	MR. DELUCA: They are hired and fired by
L1	the commissioners. They are the appointing authority
L2	and that's the key phrase for purposes of civil
L3	service law.
L4	JUDGE SMITH: When they get W2s, whose name
L5	is the employer on the W2?
L6	MR. DELUCA: I don't know the answer to
L7	that, Judge.
L8	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Do you think it's the
L9	Board of Elections?
20	MR. DELUCA: It would make sense that it
21	would be.
22	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: I can't imagine it
23	is.
24	MR. DELUCA: I don't know the answer to
25	that Judge I simply do not know

1 CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: I think it's highly 2 unlikely. Does the county realize all these years 3 that these people are covered under the collective 4 bargaining, and if they're not covered in this 5 situation what does that provision mean that's been 6 in place for forty years? MR. DELUCA: Well, the key thing, Judge, 7 and this is not in the record, but counsel's made a 8 9 point that they've been in this bargaining unit since 10 1971. Election Law 3-300 was enacted in 1976, a 11 couple years after that. So - - -12 CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Yeah, but still, 13 after they still have been included all these years? 14 MR. DELUCA: They've been included, yes. 15 So if the County of Erie employed an election clerk 16 they would be covered by the agreement, but the 17 County of Erie does not. The County of Erie does not 18 employ election clerks. 19 JUDGE PIGOTT: Within the state budget, you 20 know, the court system, for example - - -21 MR. DELUCA: Right. JUDGE PIGOTT: - - - we have union 22 23 employees, and we have people that are not. They're 2.4 confidential or whatever, and usually what we do, and

the Chief might correct me if I'm wrong, is that once

the union negotiates its benefits and salaries and 1 2 everything, we cover in the confidentials - - -3 CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Right. 4 JUDGE PIGOTT: - - - and things like that. 5 Isn't that what happens here? I mean the - - - the commissioners know that all of their employees, 6 7 particularly the full-time ones - - - I'm not talking about the election inspectors but - - - are going to 8 9 be covered in under the contract with the county. 10 MR. DELUCA: No, that's not correct, Your 11 Honor, because - - -12 JUDGE PIGOTT: They don't know that? 13 MR. DELUCA: They don't know that, because the board - - - the commissioners get to choose how 14 15 much they are paid. They get to choose what they do; 16 they get to choose all of these things. And as 17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

2.4

25

you've indicated and as is argued in county hall
every year, they have a set amount of money, and they
have to pay their entire staff within that set of
money.

CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: But is there anything
that they realize is governed by what the county
does? In other words, we talked about - - Judge

Graffeo said maybe there's a distinction between

certain benefits and overtime. Anything goes by what

1	the county negotiates
2	MR. DELUCA: No.
3	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: or is it
4	nothing if the board says it's nothing. Is that the
5	board's option? County negotiates certain
6	provisions: benefits, health care, whatever. Can
7	the board say we don't want our employees to have any
8	of those things and that's their decision, or is it
9	the county's decision you bargain, and you just, in
10	your adversaries framework that she built, you just
11	stay away from things that are clearly enumerated as
12	the you know, within the province of the board?
13	How does that work?
14	MR. DELUCA: I understand, Judge, and the
15	answer to that is it's up to the board. The board
16	decides the
17	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: The board says no
18	health care for our employees.
19	MR. DELUCA: I can't imagine that.
20	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: But can they say
21	-
22	JUDGE PIGOTT: I don't think they can.
23	MR. DELUCA: I don't know that they can say
24	that.
25	JUDGE PIGOTT: I think you're down to

1 hours, and, like, election night when it comes up on 2 November 6th, they're going to be there until 3 midnight, I predict, and - - -4 MR. DELUCA: Probably later. 5 JUDGE PIGOTT: Yeah, and that's what the 6 commissioner says. You got to stay here, and you got 7 to count. They're going to be at the polling places 8 until 9, that's when they close, and then they're 9 going to be there because they got to close up the 10 machines, they got to get the records, et cetera. 11 All of that is determined by the commissioners. Whose out there, because they've got a two - - -12 13 MR. DELUCA: Yes. 14 JUDGE PIGOTT: -- and then they come back, 15 but - - - and that sometimes is overtime, sometimes 16 isn't it? 17 MR. DELUCA: True. 18 JUDGE PIGOTT: And you're saying it's 19 within the discretion - - -20 MR. DELUCA: And they have to plan for that 21 within their budgeting, within what the County of 22 Erie has designated each year in its budget. 23 CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: What's your answer to 2.4 the question we were discussing before with the 25 million dollar budget? If your adversary wins in

1 arbitration, where does that money come out of? 2 MR. DELUCA: It's going to come out of the 3 Board of Elections budget. CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: In one way or the 4 5 other. 6 MR. DELUCA: Of course. Frankly, not the 7 other, Judge. It's going to come out of the county -8 - - I'm sorry, out of the Board of Elections budget 9 as it stands this year. 10 JUDGE SMITH: The county legislature could 11 choose to appropriate the money to cover it. 12 MR. DELUCA: They could, unlikely, but - -13 - that's possible, but - - -14 JUDGE GRAFFEO: If we agree with you do 15 these people run the risk of not automatically being 16 included in the health care benefits that the county 17 negotiates, that the union and the county come to 18 agreement on in the collective bargaining process? 19 MR. DELUCA: Again, the health benefits 20 that these employees receive, A, it's not an issue in 21 this case as you're aware, I'm sure, but it's not 22 part - - -23 JUDGE GRAFFEO: But that can be the next 2.4 case, so that's why we're asking.

MR. DELUCA: And I understand that, Judge.

I think the bottom line here is this. The Board of Elections does not have a collective bargaining relationship with the CSEA; the county does. That's it. So if you were to force the Board of Elections to start obeying all of the terms in this collective bargaining agreements - - -

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

2.4

25

CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Yeah, but, counselor, I guess the question is how do we draw the line or where is the line drawn between A benefit, B benefit, C benefit, D benefit, overtime? Is there a policy distinction or a way of distinguishing between things? I think you would agree that health benefits is something that they're entitled to, because it's bargained for, they have it, they should get it. board wouldn't dream, and I don't know whether they have, in answer to Judge Graffeo's question, I can't imagine they have the ability to say no health benefits, but assume that they can say no health benefits, where do we draw the line? How do we know? Is it because - - - and maybe this is a rationale that might work for your argument, is it that overtime is so intimately related to salary as opposed to health benefits and whatever other kind of benefits versus compensation, is that the distinction?

1 MR. DELUCA: Yes, that's part of the 2 distinction, Judge. Certainly, the 3-300 of the 3 Election Law says that the Board of Elections can, as 4 you mentioned, Judge Smith, that they can set the 5 salaries and certainly overtime is part of that key, but let me dispel what - - -6 7 CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Overtime is not 8 salary, but that would be your argument. 9 It would be part of salary, of MR. DELUCA: 10 course it would. 11 JUDGE SMITH: But benefits aren't. 12 MR. DELUCA: No, they're not, but, Judge -13 14 JUDGE SMITH: Let me - - -15 MR. DELUCA: Sure. 16 JUDGE SMITH: Suppose, hypothetically, the 17 county thinks that we're going broke with the health 18 benefits, we can't afford health benefits anymore. 19 They tell the Board of Elections the health benefits, 20 from now on, for your employees, we're charging to 21 your budget. The Board of Elections says you're 22 charging to my budget, I just as soon we not - - -23 then we're going to put our employees on a cheaper 2.4 plan. Can they do it?

MR. DELUCA: If - - - I think they could,

Judge.

2.0

2.4

JUDGE SMITH: Yeah, so the Board of Elections does have some control over the benefits.

JUDGE SMITH: You think it's not going to happen, but in theory the Board of Elections cannot be directed by the county as to what benefits its employees get and what it doesn't.

MR. DELUCA: They could. But, Judge - - -

MR. DELUCA: And I think that's consistent with 3-300.

CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: You could unilaterally give them a different health plan when the union has bargained, and you know that these listed employees, this particular employee, the Board of Election clerk, is listed as someone who's bargained between the county and the union, and you're going to say that they don't get health benefits, we're giving you some other health benefit. Is that possible?

MR. DELUCA: There's nothing in the record to suggest that the election clerks that work for the Board of Elections get health insurance benefits because of this collective bargaining agreement.

CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Why are they listed in the agreement?

1 MR. DELUCA: Because it's gone back that 2 way, apparently, since 1971. 3 CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Yeah, but you're the 4 one who makes the agreement. Why don't you say take 5 these Board of Election clerks out, because the board 6 has independent authority and - - -7 MR. DELUCA: And that goes right to the 8 heart of the case, Judge. There's never been a 9 previous instance where -- that I am aware of, and 10 there's nothing in the record. The CSEA has never 11 previously tried to enforce this agreement against the Board of Elections in terms of overtime. 12 13 CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Yeah, but you put 14 them in the agree - - -15 MR. DELUCA: And they've been rejected in 16 other cases. 17 CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: - - - but you put 18 them in the agreement. 19 MR. DELUCA: Yes. 20 CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Did you say that - -21 - did you put them in the agreement for no purpose 22 whatsoever that they are covered under the collective 23 bargaining, and then - - - but there's no reason we 2.4 put them and their name is just there. There's got

to be something things, let's say, like certain

benefits - - - maybe overtime, maybe not - - -1 2 there's got to be something that you're bargaining 3 for them or against them - - -4 MR. DELUCA: Against them. 5 CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: - - - with the union. 6 I'm just trying to get a common sense - - - they're 7 there for a reason. I'm assuming you're not keeping 8 this here for forty years for nothing. And I guess 9 my take on it is the where do we draw the line, sort 10 of the same question that Judge Graffeo asked and - -11 - because I don't believe, again, at least it doesn't 12 comport with my sense of what this kind of agreement 13 would be about that they're just there for no reason 14 whatsoever. There's got to be certain things that 15 you and the union are bargaining for in relation to 16 those people. 17 MR. DELUCA: And there's nothing 18 specifically being bargained between the county and 19 the union for these people. 2.0 CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Why are they - - -21 JUDGE READ: You're saying, what, it's a 22 historical artifact, basically? 23 MR. DELUCA: Thank you for that answer, 2.4 Judge. I think that that's probably the best answer. 25

JUDGE GRAFFEO: Why aren't the listed areas

of autonomy in Section 3-300 of the Election Law - - - why doesn't that set the parameters of what the board's authority is, and then over and beyond that, if they want to negotiate the county negotiates with the union? I don't see health benefits listed here.

2.4

MR. DELUCA: I think that's its part of the broad discretionary authority that 3-300 grants.

There's another answer to this whole question,

Judges. If the union wanted to bargain directly with the commissioners, they could try to organize those employees and then, consistent with 3-300, bargain with the union directly; as the appointing authority, that is what makes the most sense. It doesn't make any sense that a nonemployer - - - the County of Erie, a nonemployer can negotiate the terms and conditions of people who are not its employees - -

JUDGE SMITH: Let me ask you again about this question of why, apparently for all these decades there's been - - - the election clerks have been in the collective bargaining agreement? I can understand this isn't the answer you want to give, but isn't the possible answer, yeah, we've been doing something illegal all these years. If that's true, even if it is, if you've breaking the law for forty years it's still invalid, isn't it?

MR. DELUCA: I think it is still invalid 1 2 because it's up to the Elections Commissioners as the 3 appointing authority and as the sole appointing 4 authority - - -5 CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Let me give you an 6 example, an analogy that I think at least works for 7 When you say they're not you're employees it's me. because you don't hire and fire them. In the court 8 9 system we don't hire and fire the people at this 10 table. I don't think anyone could contest the fact 11 that those - - - the people are the - - - these 12 judges are the employees of the Unified Court system 13 MR. DELUCA: And I don't - - -14 15 CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: - - - even though the 16 Unified Court system can't hire them or fire them. 17 Why is this different? 18 MR. DELUCA: Because there is an express 19 appointing authority in 3-300 of the Election Law. 20 CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: The Constitution says 21 who the appointing authority is of these people who 22 are sitting in front of you. 23 MR. DELUCA: I understand, Judge. CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: You follow the - - -2.4 25 MR. DELUCA: I do understand, and I think

1	that the issue when you get to elected officials or
2	appointed judges, it complicates it, and I don't
3	think, respectfully
4	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Okay, counsel.
5	MR. DELUCA: that Your Honors are
6	comparative to election clerks at the Board of
7	Elections.
8	JUDGE PIGOTT: No, they get more power.
9	MR. DELUCA: Certainly in terms of power.
10	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Okay, counselor,
11	thanks.
12	MR. DELUCA: Thank you very much, Your
13	Honor.
14	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Let's hear your
15	adversary's rebuttal.
16	MS. PERRI ROBERTS: Thank you, Your Honor.
17	Actually, Your Honor has made many of us
18	JUDGE CIPARICK: We're only talking about
19	six people, right? I was just looking at the
20	collective bargaining agreement, just six clerks.
21	MS. PERRI ROBERTS: It's not a large
22	number. It might be it might actually be more
23	in Erie County. It might be a larger number now than
24	what that number is reflected in
25	JUDGE READ: And is your opponent correct

that this has really just never been an issue ever before?

2.0

2.4

MS. PERRI ROBERTS: I can't say that.

There's nothing in the record, because that didn't come up below. I think we can't lose the perspective here of how this matter came up and that is that the County of Erie - - not the Board of Elections - - - the County of Erie came in on a stay motion to stay arbitration and under CPLR, Article 75, they needed to show that a valid agreement did not exist in order to get that stay of arbitration, which is another one of our points.

CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Well, I assume they came in because the board asked them to come in, right?

MS. PERRI ROBERTS: I'm not sure of that.

I know that we have an affidavit from one of the commissioners in support of the motion. That's how it came in, but it was the county, it was not the Board of the Elections. There has never been any action taken through PERB or under the Civil Service Law to remove the election clerks from the certified bargaining unit.

CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Is that an official - how would that be done if one wanted to remove

1	the election clerks from the list of covered
2	MS. PERRI ROBERTS: The Civil Service Law
3	has a provision that the county can make a
4	determination as to a process to remove as to
5	resolve any questions about the
6	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: But if the county
7	wanted to remove them they have to
8	MS. PERRI ROBERTS: They can
9	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: go through some
10	formal protocol?
11	MS. PERRI ROBERTS: Correct. They would
12	either they would have a process under the
13	Civil Service Law to do that or it would go through
14	PERB, because PERB is the one who certified the
15	bargaining unit.
16	JUDGE PIGOTT: The re
17	MS. PERRI ROBERTS: So you've got a
18	certified bargaining unit here. The county's never
19	done anything to remove election clerks from the
20	scope of coverage of that collective bargaining
21	agreement.
22	JUDGE GRAFFEO: Generally, is overtime seen
23	separate from salaries, or is overtime part of the
24	umbrella of salaries and compensation?
25	MS. PERRI ROBERTS: Well, I can tell you

	cliac on the pay
2	JUDGE GRAFFEO: I'm trying to figure out
3	the scope of 3-300.
4	MS. PERRI ROBERTS: I can tell you that on
5	the paycheck stubs it's all separately listed.
6	Overtime is not just part of a lump sum of money
7	that's paid over a two-week period of time. It's all
8	separately broken out.
9	JUDGE PIGOTT: The reason you have six here
10	though is I mean there are a ton of election
11	clerks, right? I mean, I
12	MS. PERRI ROBERTS: I believe there are. I
13	think that perhaps is an old number that carried
14	over.
15	JUDGE PIGOTT: Because it was a school
16	board election, I think, in one town, but if you have
17	a general election you're talking
18	MS. PERRI ROBERTS: You're talking
19	countywide.
20	JUDGE GRAFFEO: They hire season some
21	county boards hire seasonally, right? For the
22	election season they'll put on many
23	MS. PERRI ROBERTS: They do.
24	JUDGE GRAFFEO: many election clerks.
25	MS. PERRI ROBERTS: They do add on, and

they appoint additional people to go out and help on specific election days.

2.0

2.4

CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: But what about that situation? Why is it that this hasn't come up in, like, I think your adversary mentioned, every election? You know, they're working until all hours, and they're collecting - - - what happens then? Are they paid overtime or do they go according to the general provisions of the county employees, so they get no overtime because it's part of their job. What happens in the normal course, not this particular thing that's wound up being litigated? Do you know?

MS. PERRI ROBERTS: Well, we don't have anything specific in the record.

CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Do you have a general understanding of what happens?

MS. PERRI ROBERTS: I would have to say that given the proclivity of our membership to file grievances, if there had been a problem in the past, and they hadn't gotten paid we would have seen a grievance filed.

CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: I mean, you think if they work until 2 o'clock in the morning, they're getting overtime?

MS. PERRI ROBERTS: I think they've gotten

1	paid overtime in the past.
2	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: All right.
3	MS. PERRI ROBERTS: That's my conclusion.
4	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Okay. Thank you
5	both.
6	MS. PERRI ROBERTS: Thank you.
7	MR. DELUCA: Thank you, Your Honor.
8	(Court is adjourned)
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

## 

## 

## CERTIFICATION

I, Jessica B. Cahill, certify that the foregoing transcript of proceedings in the Court of Appeals of In the Matter of County of Erie v. Civil Service Employees Association, Local 815, No. 178 was prepared using the required transcription equipment and is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

Zimia B. Cahill

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_

Agency Name: eScribers

Address of Agency: 700 West 192nd Street

Suite # 607

New York, NY 10040

Date: September 19, 2012