	1					
1	COURT OF APPEALS					
2	STATE OF NEW YORK					
3						
4	THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK,					
5	Respondent,					
6	-against- NO. 54					
7	EUGENE LIVELY,					
8	Appellant.					
	20 Eagle Street					
9	Albany, New York April 17, 2024					
10	Before:					
11	CHIEF JUDGE ROWAN D. WILSON ASSOCIATE JUDGE JENNY RIVERA					
12	ASSOCIATE JUDGE MICHAEL J. GARCIA ASSOCIATE JUDGE MADELINE SINGAS					
13	ASSOCIATE JUDGE ANTHONY CANNATARO ASSOCIATE JUDGE SHIRLEY TROUTMAN					
14	ASSOCIATE JUDGE CAITLIN J. HALLIGAN					
15						
16	Appearances:					
17	MORGAN R. MAYER, ESQ. JEFFERSON COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE					
18	Attorney for Respondent 175 Arsenal Street					
19	Watertown, NY 13601					
20	KAREN G. LESLIE, ESQ. LAW OFFICE OF KAREN G. LESLIE					
21	Attorney for Appellant P.O. Box 624					
22	Riverhead, NY 11901					
23						
23						
	Ellen S. Kolman Official Court Transcriber					
25						
	ejcribers					
	www.escribers.net 800-257-0885					

CHIEF JUDGE WILSON: And the last matter on the 1 2 calendar is People v. Lively. 3 MS. LESLIE: Good afternoon, Your Honors. Karen 4 Leslie for Eugene Lively - - - Lively. 5 I think that this is the - - - the bottom rung of 6 how a parole search should go. We would ask the court to 7 reverse. And addressing the suppression issue first, the 8 court's opinion in the Appellate Division was, in - - - in 9 our opinion, conclusory and citing McMillan and Johnson and 10 unsupported by those cases. And conclusory in - - - in 11 that when it cites to Huntley substantially related to the 12 parole officer's duty - - -13 JUDGE TROUTMAN: So let's go to the facts here. 14 The parole shows up at defendant's home. It's his parole 15 officer, correct? 16 MS. LESLIE: No, it's not. And that's one of -17 18 JUDGE TROUTMAN: They are parole officers that 19 are - - - it's not the police. It's parole. 20 MS. LESLIE: It is parole, and it's someone who 21 basically knows nothing about nothing. 22 It's a supervisor or something? JUDGE TROUTMAN: 23 MS. LESLIE: It's not. It's Whitney Rogers. She 24 was asked to go fill in, and what I call a fill-in parole 25 officer. www.escribers.net | 800-257-0885

JUDGE TROUTMAN: But in any - - - in any event, 1 2 he is on parole, and they go to his home. 3 MS. LESLIE: Respectfully, we don't even know 4 that because they didn't even submit the conditions of 5 parole. No nothing. She testifies. She's not even his 6 parole officer. And I submit that Huntley really - - -7 JUDGE TROUTMAN: Was it his home? 8 MS. LESLIE: It was his home, correct. 9 JUDGE TROUTMAN: And they go there. But it's not 10 about him that they go to the home. 11 MS. LESLIE: Right. 12 JUDGE TROUTMAN: Is that correct? 13 MS. LESLIE: It shouldn't be, because initially 14 in the grand jury, Whitney Rogers says it's a home visit. 15 She never even discloses that it's for an absconder, not 16 him. 17 JUDGE TROUTMAN: Um-hum. 18 MS. LESLIE: And then - -19 CHIEF JUDGE WILSON: When you say it's his home -20 - - I just want to - - - is it a house or is it an 21 apartment building or - - -22 MS. LESLIE: It's an apartment. 23 CHIEF JUDGE WILSON: And - - -24 MS. LESLIE: And that's another thing. We don't 25 even have a layout. We have - - - it comes out that it's a www.escribers.net | 800-257-0885

one-bedroom apartment, but there's a - - - there's a list 1 2 of things that - - -3 CHIEF JUDGE WILSON: So when they're looking - -4 does the record show when the - - - I think that you said, 5 the record - - - that they were looking for an absconder, 6 right, not for Mr. Lively? 7 That's correct. MS. LESLIE: 8 CHIEF JUDGE WILSON: So do we know whether they 9 were looking for the absconder in Mr. Lively's apartment or somewhere in the apartment building? Do we know that from 10 the record? 11 12 MS. LESLIE: We don't even know that. There's -13 - - there's - - - it's like an anti-case. It's like 14 there's nothing there. It's not the parole - - -15 JUDGE TROUTMAN: And is there any indication of a 16 connection between Mr. Lively and said absconder? 17 MS. LESLIE: No. There is not. And for the 18 first time on appeal in this court, the respondent argues 19 that based on an NYCRR provision that I - - - that I cited 20 the - - - the just general one, she cites sub 7 that he 21 could potentially be acting in concert with this absconder. 2.2 Well, A, we don't know the absconder. It's not Eugene 23 Lively. We don't know this - - -24 JUDGE TROUTMAN: Let me ask you this, in the 25 first instance. Let's assume it's Mr. Lively's residence www.escribers.net | 800-257-0885

that parole goes to. Does parole have the right to visit 1 2 his residence? 3 MS. LESLIE: They have a right if they - - - if we have some basis for it. There's no documentary proof 4 5 that he signed consent and is even on parole. That's what 6 I'm saying. There's - - - there's basic - - -7 JUDGE TROUTMAN: Oh, so you're - - - you're not 8 even conceding that he was on parole and that they have - -9 I'm - - - I'm - - - I'm saying that MS. LESLIE: 10 they didn't - - - we have her saying - - - and this is 11 Whitney Rogers saying he's on parole. But she also says we 12 have the right to search at any time - - - at any time. 13 And that's not - - -14 JUDGE TROUTMAN: Let me - - - let me try it this 15 way. Assume he is, in fact, on parole. And there are 16 conditions of parole. 17 MS. LESLIE: Right. 18 JUDGE TROUTMAN: Does parole have the right to 19 ensure that the conditions are met? 20 MS. LESLIE: Yes. 21 JUDGE TROUTMAN: And would going to the residence 2.2 of a parolee be permissible if that person was under parole 23 supervision? 24 MS. LESLIE: Yes. 25 JUDGE TROUTMAN: Now, just because you're on www.escribers.net | 800-257-0885

parole, does it mean that you could search them for 1 2 anything unrelated to parole? 3 MS. LESLIE: Correct. He's - - -4 JUDGE TROUTMAN: So here they're looking for an 5 absconder, correct? 6 MS. LESLIE: Correct. 7 JUDGE TROUTMAN: And you argue there is no 8 evidence establishing that the absconder and Mr. Lively are 9 connected, correct? 10 MS. LESLIE: Well, we don't know who - - - who it is at the bare minimum, because we have her say - - - we 11 12 don't know - - -13 JUDGE TROUTMAN: When they enter the home - - -14 let me ask you this. When they enter, do they have the 15 right for safety to check people, just cursorily, to make 16 sure that they're safe, just for the sake of argument, for 17 weapons? 18 I - - - I - - - it's - - - it's so MS. LESLIE: 19 removed from reality from - - - from appellant's position 20 here because they're searching for someone. We don't know 21 who it is. They admit that it's not Mr. Lively. They come 22 in and they cuff him without any - -23 JUDGE TROUTMAN: So what I'm saying to - - - so 24 you're saying they can't come in. They can't do a search 25 for safety. They can't pat people down. We're not even www.escribers.net | 800-257-0885

1 getting into the pockets. 2 I'm saying they can't just come and MS. LESLIE: 3 handcuff and - - - I mean, if they're going to say they're 4 going - - -5 So you're saying it's unrelated JUDGE TROUTMAN: 6 to parole duty to go there and check and make sure? 7 MS. LESLIE: No, I'm saying that they can - - -8 they can go if we have conditions of parole and he's on 9 parole; they have a right. Different conditions, such as 10 in Samson and California, it's with or without cause. 11 JUDGE TROUTMAN: Let's assume that there are 12 conditions, he signed the conditions, and that he's on 13 parole. 14 MS. LESLIE: Right. 15 JUDGE TROUTMAN: Can they go to his home then? 16 MS. LESLIE: Yes. 17 JUDGE TROUTMAN: And let's assume - - - I'm not 18 saying that they established it here - - - that they wanted 19 to make sure he was in compliance with conditions, and it 20 was believed that an absconder was - - - or a felon, that 21 he wasn't supposed to associate, may be in the residence. 2.2 So you would agree they could go in there, right? 23 MS. LESLIE: I - - - I would agree they could go 24 in there, but it's not for - - -25 JUDGE TROUTMAN: Okay. But - - - but www.escribers.net | 800-257-0885

	8			
1	MS. LESLIE: Right.			
2	JUDGE TROUTMAN: let just follow me			
3	here. So if they could go in, they can take re			
4	would you agree, they could take reasonable measures to			
5	make sure that people don't have weapons? A pat down?			
6	MS. LESLIE: I she she did not			
7	testify, Whitney Rogers, that that there was any kind			
8	of a bulge that would indicate that			
9	JUDGE TROUTMAN: We didn't get there yet.			
10	MS. LESLIE: Oh.			
11	JUDGE TROUTMAN: I'm asking cursor a			
12	cursory check. Okay. You mentioned the pat down. Your			
13	problem is, I know, it was in an earbud container. But			
14	what I'm asking, did they have a did they have the			
15	right to touch him in the first instance?			
16	MS. LESLIE: I			
17	JUDGE TROUTMAN: I'm not saying going into the			
18	pocket.			
19	MS. LESLIE: I have some problems with the			
20	handcuffing in his own residence.			
21	JUDGE TROUTMAN: Okay. So they can't do anything			
22	to him here is what you're saying. They can't search.			
23	They can't do anything. They can't pat down.			
24	MS. LESLIE: I'm if if they handcuff			
25	him, this this widespread search, when he's not the			
	www.escribers.net 800-257-0885			

person they're looking for and there's no other information 1 2 in - - - in the facts here, to me is unreasonable under 3 these circumstances. 4 JUDGE SINGAS: If the parole officer - - - if the 5 parole officer thought he was housing an absconder, that 6 wouldn't be reason to go and briefly detain him to search 7 for an absconder if they thought that - - -8 MS. LESLIE: If they - - - okay. Just for 9 purposes of argument, she cuffs him. Why is she going into 10 his pocket when - - -JUDGE SINGAS: I didn't say that. 11 12 MS. LESLIE: Okay. 13 JUDGE SINGAS: I didn't get there yet. I'm just 14 saying - - - -15 MS. LESLIE: I don't agree that - - - that he 16 should be cuffed if they're looking for something else. He 17 has no violations. It's not his parole officer. There's not special needs that are carved out so that they - - - -18 19 JUDGE SINGAS: Was there - - - they testified the 20 parole - - - the testimony on page A101 was, "Answer: Ι 21 was told they were looking for an absconder, someone on the 22 run from parole." They were told that they were 23 potentially at his apartment. 24 MS. LESLIE: Is - - - but I guess I - - - I have 25 problems with "they". Is it more than one absconder? They nber www.escribers.net | 800-257-0885

1 were told that they - - -JUDGE SINGAS: Well, if it's more than one even -2 3 - - do you think then they would have a right to cuff him 4 while they look? 5 MS. LESLIE: What I'm saying is, there's nothing in this record that is reasonable for cuffing and - - - and 6 7 - - - and - - - and searching him without any indication of 8 who they were looking for and even if they were in that 9 apartment. 10 JUDGE SINGAS: So when you mean, who they're looking for? Like - - -11 12 MS. LESLIE: So - - - so - - -13 JUDGE SINGAS: - - - Joe Louis, absconder? 14 MS. LESLIE: She says Amber Comb (ph.). And then 15 McIntyre (ph.) said, "Well, Sierra Jobson (ph.) had meth in her bedroom." 16 17 JUDGE HALLIGAN: Does it - - - doesn't - - -18 isn't there testimony that there were three or four other 19 people - - -20 MS. LESLIE: Yes. There was - - -21 JUDGE HALLIGAN: - - - in addition to Mr. Lively? 22 MS. LESLIE: Well, it's - - - she double talk. 23 She says, "Two people - - - two others and maybe a woman, 24 but I don't know. I don't go there." 25 JUDGE HALLIGAN: But there's more than just Mr. www.escribers.net | 800-257-0885

1	Lively there, yes?			
2	MS. LESLIE: At the first instance, she says it's			
3	it's only Amber Comb. And then McIntyre says, "and"			
4				
5	JUDGE HALLIGAN: I guess what I'm what I'm			
6	trying to get at is to the extent there are more people			
7	than just Mr. Lively in the apartment and they're looking			
8	for an absconder, doesn't that affect whether or not they			
9	can briefly handcuff an individual in order to ensure			
10	safety while they look around the apartment and see what's			
11	going on?			
12	MS. LESLIE: I think it's a question of			
13	reasonableness. There's five officers there. They could			
14	ask him to come outside. They could isolate him. They			
15	didn't have to handcuff him. Maybe I'm stuck on			
16	handcuffing. But they don't have			
17	JUDGE HALLIGAN: So your view is either that it			
18	is not it doesn't bear on it, or that even if it			
19	does, it's not sufficient to justify the handcuffing?			
20	MS. LESLIE: I'm saying in the first instance,			
21	there's not enough and the court relies on McMillan,			
22	for example, individualized suspicion they talk about. And			
23	they're here, there's not enough individualized			
24	suspicion to focus on him without more indicia of of			
25	of a real absconder.			

11

www.escribers.net | 800-257-0885

JUDGE HALLIGAN: When you say focus on him, do 1 2 you mean they can't handcuff him, or do you mean they can't 3 pat him down, or something different than that? 4 MS. LESLIE: I'm saying I don't, without more, 5 credit, the notion of an absconder without more information 6 isn't - - -7 JUDGE HALLIGAN: So you think maybe there's no 8 abscond - - - they - - - they - - - we should 9 proceed on the assumption that maybe the record doesn't 10 establish they were there looking for an absconder? I think that Bud (ph.) should have 11 MS. LESLIE: 12 been there or a parole warrant that - - - that - - - or -13 - or a search warrant basically - - -14 JUDGE TROUTMAN: Do you have to have a search 15 warrant if you're on parole? 16 MS. LESLIE: No, you - - - no, you don't. But 17 what I think is unreasonable in this circumstance, even for 18 a parole search, is this tip comes from nowhere, and we don't know who it is, and we don't even know if it's his 19 20 apartment, which, as you know, there are a lot of pages - -21 22 JUDGE CANNATARO: If you - - - if you don't need 23 a warrant to go to the apartment to do the parole business, 24 why make up an absconder or - - or a tip about an 25 absconder? What - - - what does that get the parole www.escribers.net | 800-257-0885

1 officers? MS. LESLIE: Well, it gets them the search. 2 3 JUDGE CANNATARO: But they - - - but they could -4 JUDGE HALLIGAN: But they can do that - - -5 JUDGE CANNATARO: - - - do that any - - - I'm 6 sorry. 7 JUDGE HALLIGAN: Sure. 8 JUDGE CANNATARO: Same thing. 9 They - - - they - - - they get to MS. LESLIE: 10 handcuff and - - - and search him, which I think is not 11 substantially what - - -12 JUDGE HALLIGAN: But they could enter if he is a 13 parolee. I understand you - - - you question whether that's sufficiently established. But - - -14 15 MS. LESLIE: Well, most of the - - -16 JUDGE HALLIGAN: If I could finish for a second. 17 They - - - they can enter the house - - - the apartment if 18 he is a parolee; is that - - - is that correct? 19 MS. LESLIE: Yes. 20 JUDGE HALLIGAN: Okay. And so is your argument 21 that the tip that they're looking for an absconder somehow 2.2 heightens the situation in a way that if we credit, it allows them to handcuff, and - - - and if we don't credit, 23 24 it doesn't? I'm just trying to understand - - -25 MS. LESLIE: I'm saying that - - www.escribers.net | 800-257-0885

	14
1	JUDGE HALLIGAN: how you think it bears.
2	MS. LESLIE: $$ that on the on the cases on
3	which the court relies, namely McMillan and Johnson.
4	JUDGE HALLIGAN: Um-hum.
5	MS. LESLIE: In Johnson, the parolee was on a
6	list of fifteen parolees. He was not singled out. There
7	was there was a a reason that is more than just
8	this anonymous comment by a parole officer that's not even
9	his own, that he can't carve out special needs and say,
10	this is why we handcuffed him and searched him. And this
11	is you know, more that McMillan was a detective
12	executing a parole warrant. And so they they had
13	corroboration from an ex-girlfriend so that there was more
14	to actually put some flesh on the bones of the actual
15	arrest and search in that case and there was corroboration.
16	Here, it's just this bare allegation of an
17	absconder. And let's, sort of Kafkaesque, go in and
18	handcuff him and search him, but he's not the one that
19	we're looking for. But he's he's in the mix.
20	I don't see that the cases that the court relies
21	on where there's individualized suspicion in this case as
22	to Mr. Lively, support the court's affirming the
23	suppression court's ruling.
24	And with with respect to the if I may
25	move on to the sufficiency. The sufficiency was the
	www.escribers.net 800-257-0885

1 defense was personal use, and the actual testimony of Gatch 2 (ph.) obviously, he was found not quilty of Suboxone and 3 meth was not found on him. And so he had two and a half 4 essential - - - essentially two-and-a-half envelopes of 5 heroin. And Gatch confirmed that one and a half was enough 6 for personal use. So he had one more. And based on the -7 - - the actual facts in this case, I would suggest that 8 there's not - - - that there is a reason and - - - to . 9 to doubt and a basis for insufficiency based on the 10 People's case in this - - - in this regard. 11 Is there - - - is there anything back on the 12 suppression? I just wanted to get to that. No. All 13 right. Thank you. 14 CHIEF JUDGE WILSON: Thank you. 15 MS. MAYER: Good afternoon. May it please the 16 court. Morgan Mayer, on behalf of the Jefferson County 17 District Attorney's Office. 18 Whitney Rogers was a parole officer who was known 19 to the defendant. She had been to his house before. That 20 was brought out in the testimony. She was acting as an 21 agent of the parole office on behalf of Ofc. Bub, the 2.2 defendant's own officer. 23 JUDGE TROUTMAN: Here, with respect to the 24 defendant and the defendant's parole status, what was 25 presented that established his connection with the alleged ww.escribers.net | 800-257-0885

absconder? 1 2 MS. MAYER: The testimony that he - - - it was 3 his house - - - apartment that they had gone to, and that 4 there was potentially an absconder there is the evidence 5 that was established. 6 JUDGE TROUTMAN: That's - - - and that shows his connection or his parole status requirements are impacted 7 8 how? How is Huntley being satisfied that they're showing 9 that they're furthering their responsibilities as it's 10 related to this parole? 11 MS. MAYER: I would argue that under the two-12 prong test of protecting the needs of the people and the -13 - - for the protection of the defendant as well. 14 JUDGE TROUTMAN: How? 15 MS. MAYER: The - - - if the parole has an 16 obligation to protect the defendant from committing further 17 offenses, if he's potentially housing an absconder, he's 18 potentially committing a parole violation. 19 JUDGE TROUTMAN: Okay. So let's assume you get 20 to go in his house. You're looking for the absconder, not 21 him. 2.2 MS. MAYER: Yes. 23 JUDGE TROUTMAN: And other than the fact he may 24 be associating with someone he may not be able to, you're 25 not there believing that he's possessing of a weapon or www.escribers.net | 800-257-0885

1 anything like that, correct? 2 MS. MAYER: Yes. 3 JUDGE TROUTMAN: He - - - there's a pat down and 4 there's a bulge she says, correct? 5 MS. MAYER: Correct. 6 JUDGE TROUTMAN: Is there any indication at that 7 point that it's a weapon or that there's a safety concern? 8 MS. MAYER: Ofc. Rogers did testify that there 9 was a safety concern given the number of people in the 10 apartment. 11 Okay. She did the pat down. JUDGE TROUTMAN: 12 MS. MAYER: Yes. She did the pat down. 13 JUDGE TROUTMAN: And she felt a bulge. Did she 14 give an indication in her testimony that that bulge was 15 consistent with something that could cause harm? 16 MS. MAYER: She did not. 17 JUDGE TROUTMAN: And she then opened it, it's an 18 earbud, and there was heroin in there, correct? 19 MS. MAYER: Correct. 20 JUDGE TROUTMAN: So how is this anything other 21 than she felt a bud - - - a bulge? She went in his pockets 22 because she wanted to know what was in there. 23 MS. MAYER: She had the right to conduct the 24 search as - - -25 JUDGE TROUTMAN: Why? www.escribers.net | 800-257-0885

	18	
1	MS. MAYER: given his parole status.	
2	JUDGE TROUTMAN: So you're saying if you're on	
3	parole, he can be searched at any time for a reason or no	
4	reason at all?	
5	MS. MAYER: I would argue that it's in defense of	
6	that	
7	JUDGE CANNATARO: Can you see how that's	
8	problematic, though? You you in response to	
9	one of Judge Troutman's questions, you you	
10	acknowledge that the reason for being in the apartment was	
11	to search for an absconder. I think she said then it had	
12	nothing to do with him, and I think you might have said	
13	like, yeah, it had nothing to do with him. But they cuff	
14	him and you can understand why that might be for safety,	
15	and you might even understand a pat down for safety of the	
16	parole officers. But if they're not there for them, why	
17	are they opening an iPod case?	
18	MS. MAYER: They have the right to conduct the	
19	search. It's part of the	
20	JUDGE CANNATARO: Search for what?	
21	MS. MAYER: conditions of parole to search	
22	the defendant.	
23	JUDGE CANNATARO: No. But you said that that's	
24	not what they were there for. They were there to look for	
25	an absconder. What does that have to do with looking for	
	www.escribers.net 800-257-0885	

an absconder? The absconder is not in an iPod case, is he? 1 2 MS. MAYER: The potential violation of his parole 3 we would argue, gives them the right to conduct a search. 4 JUDGE CANNATARO: What potential viol - - -5 JUDGE HALLIGAN: What - -6 JUDGE CANNATARO: Is that the question you were 7 going to ask? Thank you. 8 JUDGE HALLIGAN: 9 JUDGE CANNATARO: What potential violation of his 10 parole? MS. MAYER: 9 NYCRR Section 8003 subdivision 7 11 12 which says, "I will not associate with people who might 13 not" - -14 JUDGE CANNATARO: But they haven't even found 15 anyone yet. 16 MS. MAYER: They were looking for someone though, 17 and they had evidence - - -18 JUDGE SINGAS: So your position is that basically 19 he - - - they're - - - they get this - - - they get 20 information that he may be housing an absconder. They're 21 going - - - yes, they're looking for an absconder, but he 22 may be committing a crime by housing an absconder. He may 23 be violating his parole by fraternizing with absconders. 24 And you're saying that that then justifies a search of his 25 person because of his diminished expectation of privacy www.escribers.net | 800-257-0885

1 because of his status as a parolee? 2 MS. MAYER: Yes. 3 JUDGE HALLIGAN: It seems like a lot of 4 bootstrapping, though. I mean, even if you could, as Judge 5 Cannataro, I think, suggested, perhaps handcuff for 6 purposes of public safety while you assess whether the 7 absconder's there, to say that he has - - - you know, you 8 have reason to think that he has violated the regulation 9 because of the tip, is that the theory, and therefore, you 10 can go into the earbud case even though there's no 11 testimony and probably no practical reason to think that 12 it's a weapon? 13 MS. MAYER: That was the theory, correct. 14 JUDGE HALLIGAN: Okay. 15 MS. MAYER: And to address the legal sufficiency 16 argument brought up by the defense, there was testimony to 17 establish that one-and-a-half bundles was probably typical 18 for what an addict would use in a day. But what it boils 19 down to is the defendant had more than that. And based on 20 that, we believe that the evidence is legally sufficient to 21 prove that charge. 2.2 And if there are no further questions, we will 23 rest on the brief. 24 CHIEF JUDGE WILSON: Thank you. 25 I'm sorry I didn't reserve. MS. LESLIE: May I www.escribers.net | 800-257-0885

1 just respond briefly? CHIEF JUDGE WILSON: Sure. You have a minute. 2 3 Sure. MS. LESLIE: I would point out that the 4 5 respondent did not raise NYCRR provision of subsection 7, 6 which talks about acting in concert before this court. 7 Never at the trial level, not in the Appellate Division, 8 never mentioned anything like that. And there's no 9 evidence, nothing in the record to support that. 10 And also the Smith case, I had argued this, and 11 Judge Bellacosa, it was different facts, but in terms of 12 People's preservation and raising a different issue on 13 appeal, it's 92 NY 2d. He basically - - - it was the 14 People's appeal, and he affirmed the appellate division and 15 he said basically that what they're doing is not proper in this circumstance. Thank you. 16 17 CHIEF JUDGE WILSON: Thank you. 18 (Court is adjourned) 19 20 21 2.2 23 24 25 ww.escribers.net | 800-257-0885

			22	
1 2		CERTIFICATION		
3	I, E	llen S. Kolman, certify that the foregoing		
4	transcript of proceedings in the Court of Appeals of the			
5	State of New York v. Eugene Lively, No. 52 was prepared			
6	using the requ	using the required transcription equipment and is a true		
7	and accurate r	ecord of the proceedings.		
8				
9		Ellen S. Kalman		
10	Sign	ature:		
11				
12				
13	Agency Name:	eScribers		
14				
15	Address of Agency:	7227 North 16th Street		
16		Suite 207		
17		Phoenix, AZ 85020		
18				
19	Date:	April 24, 2024		
20				
21				
22				
23				
24 25				
20		www.escribers.net 800-257-0885		